## PROGRAMMATIC RISK-BASED PRELIMINARY REMEDIATION GOALS

U.S. Department of Energy Rocky Flats Plant Golden, Colorado

> Final Revision 1

October 1994

admin reccrd

Attachment 94-RF-10438 Page 1 of 50

SW-A-003769

150

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST	OF ACRONYMS	11
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	PURPOSE OF RISK-BASED PROGRAMMATIC PRELIMINARY REMEDIATION GOALS	3
3.0	EXPOSURE PATHWAYS	4
4.0	METHODOLOGY, EQUATIONS, AND ASSUMPTIONS 4.1 Surface Soils 4.1.1 Residential Exposure 4.1.2 Commercial/Industrial Exposure 4.1.3 Ecological Researcher Exposure 4.2 Subsurface Soils 4.2.1 Residential Exposure 4.2.2 Commercial/Industrial Exposure 4.2.3 Ecological Researcher Exposure 4.3 Ground Water 4.3.1 Residential Exposure 4.3.2 Commercial/Industrial Exposure 4.3.3 Ecological Researcher Exposure 4.3.4 Surface Water 4.4.1 Residential Exposure 4.4.2 Commercial/Industrial Exposure 4.4.3 Ecological Researcher Exposure 4.4.4 Surface Water 4.4.1 Residential Exposure 4.4.2 Commercial/Industrial Exposure 4.4.3 Ecological Researcher Exposure	7 8 8 8 18 18 18 17 27 27 27 27
5.0	CONTAMINANT TOXICITY INFORMATION	34
6.0	RISK-BASED PROGRAMMATIC PRELIMINARY REMEDIATION GOALS	34
<b>7</b> 0	DEEDENGES	46

#### LIST OF ACRONYMS

ACL Alternative Concentration Limit

ARAR Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirement

BRA Baseline Risk Assessment

CDPHE Colorado Department of Public Health and the Environment

CERCLA Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

CHWA Colorado Hazardous Waste Act

CMS/FS Corrective Measures Study/Feasibility Study

COC Contaminant of Concern
DOE U.S. Department of Energy
IAG Interagency Agreement

IHSS Individual Hazardous Substance Site

MCL Maximum Contaminant Level MCLG Maximum Contaminant Level Goal

NCP National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan

OU Operable Unit

PPRG Programmatic Preliminary Remediation Goal Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund

RBC Risk-Based Concentration

RCRA Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

RfC Reference Concentration

RfD Reference Dose

RFI/RI RCRA Facility Investigation/Remedial Investigation

RFP Rocky Flats Plant

RI/FS Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study

ROD Record of Decision
TAL Target Analyte List
TBC To-Be-Considered
TCL Target Compound List

USEPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Various areas at the Rocky Flats Plant (RFP) are being closed and/or remediated in accordance with the provisions of the 1991 Interagency Agreement (IAG) signed between the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), and the State of Colorado (IAG 1991) to ensure protection of human health and the environment. The IAG integrates the closure and corrective action provisions of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) and the Colorado Hazardous Waste Act (CHWA) with the hazardous substance response requirements contained in the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA). The various areas to be closed or remediated, called Individual Hazardous Substance Sites (IHSSs), are divided into 16 Operable Units (OUs).

DOE is in the process of conducting a RCRA Facility Investigation/Remedial Investigation (RFI/RI) and Corrective Measures Study/Feasibility Study (CMS/FS) for each OU to select the most appropriate remedy for each OU. In order to identify, evaluate, and select a remedial alternative, the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP) states that "Alternatives shall be developed that protect human health and the environment by recycling waste or by eliminating, reducing, and/or controlling risks posed through each pathway by a site." The number and type of alternatives to be analyzed shall be determined at each site, taking into account the scope, characteristics, and complexity of the site problem that is being addressed. In developing and, as appropriate, screening the alternatives, the lead agency shall establish remedial action objectives specifying contaminants and media of concern, potential exposure pathways, and remediation goals." [See 40 CFR 300.430(e)(2).]

This document addresses the establishment of programmatic remediation goals which are contaminant- and medium-specific levels of exposure that are protective of human health. The combination of the Baseline Risk Assessment (BRA) results, Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements (ARARs), and To-Be-Considered documents (TBCs) are used as the basis to establish the remediation goals approved by the regulatory agencies in the Record of Decision (ROD). CERCLA Section 121 and 40 CFR 300.430 allow the following factors to be considered when establishing remediation goals.

- Chemical-specific standards established pursuant to a Federal environmental law or any promulgated State standard which is more stringent than a Federal standard are to be used to establish remediation goals. These environmental laws include, but are not limited to, the Toxic Substances Control Act; the Safe Drinking Water Act; the Clean Air Act; the Clean Water Act; the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act; and the Solid Waste Disposal Act. In addition to the promulgated standards, the following items should be considered:
  - For systemic toxicants, remediation goals are to be established so that the human population, including sensitive subgroups, may be exposed without adverse effect through a given lifetime (i.e., Hazard Index less than 1.0). Remediation goals are to incorporate an adequate margin of safety.

- For known or suspected carcinogens, remediation goals are to be established to represent an excess upper-bound lifetime cancer risk to an individual ranging from 10<sup>-4</sup> to 10<sup>-6</sup> using information on the relationship between dose and response. The 10<sup>-6</sup> risk level shall be used as the point of departure for determining remediation goals for alternatives where specific ARARs are not available or protective due to multiple contaminants or exposure pathways. [NOTE: In cases where the chemical-specific ARARs result in a cumulative risk in excess of 10<sup>-4</sup>, more restrictive remediation goals may be established in accordance with this provision.]
- Factors related to uncertainties, technical limitations (i.e., detection limits), and other pertinent information.
- Non-zero Maximum Contaminant Level Goals (MCLGs), where determined to be relevant and appropriate, are to be attained by remedial actions for ground or surface waters that are current or potential drinking water sources. For MCLGs set at zero, the corresponding Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) is to be attained when determined to be relevant and appropriate.
- An Alternative Concentration Limit (ACL) can be established pursuant to CERCLA Section 121.
- Water quality standards established under the Clean Water Act Sections 303 and 304 are to be attained for releases to surface waters to be protective of aquatic life where determined to be relevant and appropriate.
- Fauna, flora, and aquatic habitats are to be considered during the establishment of the remediation goals. Environmental evaluations are to be conducted to assess threats to the environment, especially sensitive and critical habitats protected under the Endangered Species Act.

To the extent possible, chemical-specific ARARs are used to determine remediation goals. However, ARARs may not adequately consider the site-specific contamination or the cumulative effects associated with multiple contaminants and/or pathways. Therefore, chemical-specific ARARs are not always the sole determinant of protectiveness and are supplemented with risk assessments and consideration of other non-promulgated health-based criteria. The risk assessment process includes the evaluation of site-specific factors such as potential for exposure (e.g., future land use), the hazardous substances present, and the presence of sensitive populations and habitats. These factors will be considered during the development of the OU-specific BRA.

DOE proposes to develop Risk-Based Programmatic Preliminary Remediation Goals (PPRGs) which will establish initial sitewide clean up targets for each environmental medium.

The risk-based PPRGs incorporate BRA methodologies accepted on a sitewide basis. This report presents the purpose for risk-based PPRGs and methods used to calculate them. Section 2 provides information regarding the intended current and potential future uses of the risk-based PPRGs. Section 3.0 describes the exposure pathways and methodology used to calculate the risk-based PPRGs. Section 4.0 provides references for the toxicological information used for each specific contaminant. Section 5.0 gives a comprehensive list of risk-based PPRGs that are proposed to be used to develop and screen remedial technologies and alternatives.

## 2.0 PURPOSE OF RISK-BASED PROGRAMMATIC PRELIMINARY REMEDIATION GOALS

As stated in Section 1.0, the intended purpose for calculating risk-based PPRGs is to establish sitewide clean up targets for environmental contaminants. The calculation of risk-based PPRGs is possible through the standardization of exposure pathways and risk assessment methodologies. The benefits associated with developing risk-based PPRGs include:

- Support the CMS/FS process by allowing the development of remedial technologies and alternatives to proceed without an OU-specific BRA;
- Support the Contaminant of Concern (COC) selection process within the BRA by providing "Risk-Based Concentrations";
- Support the Colorado Department of Public Health and the Environment (CDPHE) conservative screen within the BRA; and
- Support the evaluation of sites where accelerated cleanup actions may be warranted.

In order to assure consistency with current risk assessment methodologies, Exposure Scenario Technical Memoranda were evaluated for use in the risk-based PPRG selection.

Although there is a certain level of risk associated with developing remedial technologies and alternatives prior to fully characterizing the risks associated with the OU contamination, the programmatic approach is consistent with the NCP. Specifically, 40 CFR 300.430(e)(2)(i) states that, "[I]nitially, preliminary remediation goals are developed based on readily available information, such as chemical-specific ARARs or other reliable information. Preliminary remediation goals should be modified, as necessary, as more information becomes available during the Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS). Final remediation goals will be determined when the remedy is selected."

The "off-the-shelf" risk-based PPRGs will form the initial basis for identifying, screening, and evaluating potential remedial technologies and alternatives. However, the risk-based PPRGs are not intended to be the final justification for selecting a particular remedial alternative. Should the final BRA indicate that the risk-based PPRGs are not representative of

6/50

the actual risk posed by the contamination at the OU, the required changes will be incorporated as early as possible during the Development and Screening of Alternatives or Detailed Analysis of Alternatives.

The extensive amount of data at each OU warranted a process that would reduce the number of chemicals needing assessment in the BRA. USEPA, CDPHE, and DOE therefore approved a process by which COCs could be delineated at a site. One part of this process evaluates low detection frequency chemicals with respect to a Risk-Based Concentration (RBC) value. The value to be used for the RBC will be taken from the risk-based PPRG list using a residential scenario.

Data aggregation within an OU has been discussed between USEPA, CDPHE, and DOE, and an agreement has been reached on how this data aggregation is to be performed. To meet CDPHE requirements for data aggregation, the whole OU area is divided into sub-areas called "sources." Source area delineation is based on the environmental media data from the OU. After source areas are delineated, a risk-based screening process is performed for each source area. This screening process will use the residential exposure scenario values within the risk-based PPRG list.

As required by Section IX.A.1 of the IAG Statement of Work, DOE is to develop Corrective/Remedial Action objectives for each OU and document these objectives in OU-specific Technical Memoranda for submission to USEPA and/or the State for review. The objectives are to specify the contaminants and media of interest, exposure pathways and receptors, and USEPA and State accepted levels or ranges for each exposure route. The risk-based PPRGs will be used in conjunction with chemical-specific ARARs to establish acceptable PRGs for each OU. These acceptable levels or ranges (e.g., OU-specific PRGs) will be documented in the form of a Technical Memorandum.

It is projected that a risk-based evaluation will be needed to screen OUs for potential early actions. This screening evaluation will need to employ risk-based cleanup targets so that areas can be ranked with respect to human health risks. Also, high risk sites will need to be assessed with respect to the amount of cleanup required. It is projected that the risk-based PPRGs will be utilized for both of these exercises within an accelerated clean-up framework. Based on the CDPHE conservative screen, accelerated actions may be implemented at sites where the cumulative risk ratio is greater than 100.

#### 3.0 EXPOSURE PATHWAYS

In order to standardize the risk-based PPRGs across all of the OUs, programmatic exposure pathways and receptors were established. Table 1 identifies the receptors and exposure pathways selected for each environmental media. A sand and gravel mining scenario is being examined for the possible incorporation into the risk-based PPRG document. If it is determined that this exposure scenario is required, the risk-based PPRG document will be revised accordingly. In addition, dermal exposure will be considered during the CDPHE conservative

Environmental Media Exposure Scenario	Residential	Commercial/Industrial	Ecological Researcher
Surface Soil	Direct Ingestion of Soils <sup>a/</sup> Inhalation of Particulates <sup>b/</sup> External Radiation Exposure <sup>c/</sup>	Office Worker Scenario  Direct Ingestion of Soils al  Inhalation of Particulates bl  External Radiation Exposure cl	Direct Ingestion of Soils a/ Inhalation of Particulates b/ External Radiation Exposure c/
Subsurface Soil	Not Applicable	Construction Worker Scenario  Direct Ingestion of Soils a/ Inhalation of Particulates b/ External Radiation Exposure c/ Inhalation of Volatiles	Not Applicable
Ground Water	Direct Ingestion of Ground Water at Inhalation During Domestic Use dt	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Surface Water	Direct Ingestion While Swimming e/	Not Applicable	Direct Ingestion While Wading ed

#### **NOTES:**

- Includes assessment of organics and inorganics.
   Includes assessment of non-volatile organics and inorganics.
   Includes assessment of radionuclides.

- Includes assessment of volatile organics.
  Includes assessment of organics and tritium.



screen in accordance with DOE/USEPA/CDPHE agreements. Should the results of the CDPHE conservative screen indicate that the cumulative risk ratio is less than one, dermal exposure will be assessed per USEPA dermal exposure assessment guidance (USEPA, 1992).

Standard assumptions given in Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund (RAGS), Part B (USEPA, 1991) were used in developing risk-based PPRG equations where available. For situations not addressed by RAGS, Part B, standard assumptions given in RAGS, Part A (USEPA, 1989) were used. In addition, site-specific information from Exposure Scenario Technical Memoranda for OUs 1 through 7 was used where appropriate to supplement assumptions given in USEPA guidance. Best professional judgement was applied when default values differed from site-specific information.

In addition to USEPA and site-specific information, CDPHE guidance (Interim Final Policy and Guidance on Risk Assessments for Corrective Action at RCRA Facilities) was consulted for exposure pathways and parameters. While this guidance has not been finalized, it was reviewed and CDPHE was consulted on its use during development of the risk-based PPRG equations.

Due to the many programs that these risk-based PPRGs will support, elements from USEPA and CDPHE guidance, as well as site-specific information, were used to develop the risk-based PPRGs. This compromise approach will assure that all objectives of the document are met while maintaining the health protectiveness of the risk-based PPRGs.

### 4.0 METHODOLOGY, EQUATIONS, AND ASSUMPTIONS

This section presents the methodology, equations, and assumptions that were used to calculate the risk-based PPRGs. In general, the following USEPA guidance documents were used as the basis to derive the risk-based equations and exposure default values to calculate the risk-based PPRGs.

- Human Health Evaluation Manual, Part B: Development of Risk-Based Preliminary Remediation Goals, (USEPA 1991);
- Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund, Volume I: Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part A), (USEPA 1989);
- Changes to Equations in the Part B Guidance, (Dinan 1992);
- Revisions to Chapter 4: Risk-based PRGs for Radioactive Contaminants, (USEPA 1993b); and
- Human Health Evaluation Manual, Supplemental Guidance: Standard Default Exposure Factors, OSWER Directive 9285.6-03, (USEPA, 1991b).

To ensure that all of the contaminants that may be encountered at the RFP are addressed, risk-based PPRGs were developed for all Target Analyte List (TAL) metals, Target Compound List (TCL) organics and 12 radionuclides for each receptor (i.e., resident, office worker, construction worker, and ecological researcher) and environmental media (i.e., surface soil, subsurface soil, ground water, and surface water) combination identified on Table 1. Separate risk-based equations were developed to account for the carcinogenic, noncarcinogenic, and/or radiological effects of the contaminant. Risk-based PPRGs for carcinogens (including radionuclides) were calculated by setting the carcinogenic target risk level at 10<sup>-6</sup>. A target risk level of 10<sup>-6</sup> means an individual has a one-in-one-million probability of developing cancer over a lifetime as a result of exposure to a specific contaminant. This risk is in addition to the probability of an individual developing cancer from other factors such as those associated with Similarly, risk-based PPRGs for toxicants (non-carcinogens) were heredity or lifestyle. calculated by setting the hazard index equal to 1 for each contaminant. A hazard index is the ratio between the contaminant concentration and a reference dose. The reference dose represents the exposure level to the contaminant below which adverse effects are not expected. For some of the contaminants both carcinogenic and noncarcinogenic toxicity information was available. For these contaminants, both a carcinogenic and noncarcinogenic risk-based concentration were calculated and the more restrictive value was used as the risk-based PPRG. The risk-based equations for radiological effects were used to calculate the risk-based PPRGs for the 12 radionuclides.

The risk-based PPRG equations include all of the exposure pathways (e.g., Direct Ingestion of Soils) listed in Table 1 for each exposure scenario/environmental media combination; separate risk-based PPRGs were not be calculated for each exposure pathway. When available, USEPA-specified default values were used to calculate the risk-based PPRGs. In the absence of USEPA guidance on specific parameters, site-specific default values were established based on previous DOE reports on specific operable units.

#### 4.1 Surface Soils

Exposure pathways, equations, assumptions, and default values used to calculate the surface soil risk-based PPRGs for each receptor scenario are presented in this section. The receptors considered include residential use, office worker, and ecological researcher. The risk-based equations for all receptors included the following exposure pathways:

- Direct ingestion of soils contaminated with organic and inorganic (including radionuclides) contaminants;
- Inhalation of non-volatile organic and inorganic (including radionuclides) particulates; and
- External radiation exposure due to radionuclide contaminants.

#### 4.1.1 Residential Exposure

For the residential exposure to surface soil, a combined adult and child exposure was assessed for the soil ingestion pathway. All other pathways were based on an adult exposure only.

The equations and assumptions used to derive risk-based PPRGs for surface soils with carcinogenic COCs are shown on Table 2, and the corresponding equation for COCs with noncarcinogenic effects is shown on Table 3. Table 4 shows the equation used to calculate risk-based PPRGs for radionuclides. All default values were based on USEPA guidance.

#### 4.1.2 Commercial/Industrial Exposure

For the commercial/industrial exposure to surface soils, an office worker receptor was assessed. The equations and assumptions used to derive the risk-based PPRGs for surface soils are shown on Table 5 for COCs with carcinogenic effects, on Table 6 for COCs with noncarcinogenic effects, and on Table 7 for radionuclides. All default values were based on USEPA guidance.

#### 4.1.3 Ecological Researcher Exposure

The risk-based PPRG equations and assumptions for exposure of an ecological researcher to surface soils are shown on Tables 8, 9, and 10 for potential carcinogens, noncarcinogens, and radionuclides, respectively. Because the ecological researcher is a site-specific receptor, site-specific exposure assumptions were developed. Specifically, the exposure frequency and duration were based on site-specific information. Other exposure assumptions were based on USEPA guidance pertaining to a commercial/industrial land use scenario.

#### 4.2 Subsurface Soils

This section presents the exposure pathways, equations, assumptions, and default values used to calculate the subsurface soil risk-based PPRGs. Only a construction worker scenario was considered for this environmental media and the risk-based PPRGs were based on the following exposure pathways:

- Direct ingestion of soils contaminated with organic and inorganic (including radionuclides) contaminants;
- Inhalation of non-volatile organic and inorganic (including radionuclides) particulates;
- External radiation exposure due to radionuclide contaminants; and
- Inhalation of volatiles.

### TABLE 2 SURFACE SOIL - RESIDENTIAL USE CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

$$PPRG_1 = \frac{TR \ x \ AT \ x \ 365 \ days/year}{EF \ x \left[ (SFi \ x \ IRa \ x \ ED \ x \ \frac{1}{BW} \ x \ \frac{1}{PEF}) + (SFo \ x \ 10^{-6} \ kg/mg \ x \ IF) \right]}$$

where:

Explanation (Units)	Default Value
Risk-based PPRG for surface soil based on residential use (mg/kg)	<u>.</u> ·
target excess lifetime cancer risk (unitless)	10 <sup>-6</sup>
averaging time (years)	70 years
	350 days/year
	COC-Specific
	20 m³/day
	30 years
	70 kg
	$4.63 \times 10^9 \text{ m}^3/\text{kg}$
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	COC-Specific
age-adjusted soil ingestion factor (mg-yr/kg-day)	114 mg-yr/kg-day
	Risk-based PPRG for surface soil based on residential use (mg/kg) target excess lifetime cancer risk (unitless) averaging time (years) exposure frequency (days/year) inhalation cancer slope factor (mg/kg-day)-1 daily inhalation rate (m³/day) exposure duration (years) adult body weight (kg) particulate emission factor (m³/kg) oral cancer slope factor (mg/kg-day)-1

Source: USEPA, 1991.

Note: Inhalation of particulates does not apply to volatile organics (i.e., Henry's Law Constant greater than 1x10<sup>5</sup> atm-m³/mole and a molecular weight less than 200 g/mole).



## TABLE 3 SURFACE SOIL - RESIDENTIAL USE NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

$$PPRG_{2} = \frac{THI \times AT \times 365 \text{ days/year}}{EF \times \left[ (ED \times IRa \times \frac{1}{RfDi} \times \frac{1}{BW} \times \frac{1}{PEF}) + (\frac{1}{RfDo} \times 10^{-6} \text{ kg/mg} \times IF) \right]}$$

where:

<u>Variable</u>	Explanation (Units)	Default Value
PPRG <sub>2</sub>	Risk-based PPRG for surface soil based on residential use (mg/kg)	-
THI	target hazard index (unitless)	1
AT	averaging time (years)	30 years
EF	exposure frequency (days/year)	350 days/year
ED	exposure duration (years)	30 years
IRa	daily inhalation rate (m <sup>3</sup> /day)	20 m <sup>3</sup> /day
RfDi	inhalation chronic reference dose (mg/kg-day)	COC-Specific
BW	adult body weight (kg)	70 kg
PEF ·	particulate emission factor (m³/kg)	$4.63 \times 10^9 \text{ m}^3/\text{kg}$
RfDo	oral chronic reference dose (mg/kg-day)	COC-Specific
IF	age-adjusted soil ingestion rate (mg-yr/kg-day)	114 mg-yr/kg-day

Source: USEPA, 1991.

Note: Inhalation of particulates does not apply to volatile organics (i.e., Henry's Law Constant greater than 1x10<sup>5</sup> atm-m³/mole and a molecular weight less than 200 g/mole).

## 1.1

# TABLE 4 SURFACE SOIL - RESIDENTIAL USE RADIOLOGICAL EFFECTS

 $PPRG_3 = \frac{TR}{\left(EF \times IRa \times ED \times SFi \times 10^3 \text{ g/kg} \times \frac{1}{PEF}\right) + \left(EF \times SFo \times 10^{-3} \text{ g/mg} \times IF\right) + \left(SFe \times ED \times (1 - Se) \times Te\right)}$ 

where:

<u>Variable</u>	Explanation (Units)	Default Value
PPRG <sub>3</sub>	Risk-based PPRG for surface soil based on residential use (pCi/g)	-
TR	target excess lifetime cancer risk (unitless)	10-6
EF	exposure frequency (days/year)	350 days/year
IRa	daily indoor inhalation rate (m <sup>3</sup> /day)	20 m³/day
ED	exposure duration (years)	30 years
SFi	inhalation cancer slope factor (risk/pCi)	COC-Specific
PEF	particulate emission factor (m <sup>3</sup> /kg)	$4.63 \times 10^9 \text{ m}^3/\text{kg}$
SFo	oral cancer slope factor (risk/pCi)	COC-Specific
IF	age-adjusted soil ingestion factor (mg-yr/day)	3600 mg-yr/day
SFe	external exposure slope factor (risk/yr per pCi/g)	COC-Specific
Se	gamma shielding factor (unitless)	0.2
Te	gamma exposure factor (unitless)	1

Source: USEPA, 1991; USEPA, 1993b.



## TABLE 5 SURFACE SOIL - OFFICE WORKER CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

$$PPRG_4 = \frac{TR \times BW \times AT \times 365 \text{ days/year}}{EF \times ED \times \left[ (SFi \times IRa \times \frac{1}{PEF}) + (SFo \times 10^{-6} \text{ kg/mg } \times IRs) \right]}$$

where:

<u>Variable</u>	Explanation (Units)	Default Value
PPRG₄	Risk-based PPRG for surface soil based on office worker use (mg/kg)	• -
TR	target excess lifetime cancer risk (unitless)	10-6
BW	adult body weight (kg)	70 kg
AT	averaging time (years)	70 years
EF	exposure frequency (days/year)	250 days/year
ED	exposure duration (years)	25 years
SFi	inhalation cancer slope factor (mg/kg-day)-1	COC-Specific
IRa	workday inhalation rate (m <sup>3</sup> /day)	$6.64 \text{ m}^3/\text{day}^{a}$
PEF	particulate emission factor (m³/kg)	$4.63 \times 10^9 \mathrm{m}^3/\mathrm{kg}$
SFo	oral cancer slope factor (mg/kg-day) <sup>-1</sup>	COC-Specific
IRs	workday ingestion rate (mg/day)	50 mg/day

Source: USEPA, 1989; USEPA, 1991.

Note: Inhalation of particulates does not apply to volatile organics (i.e., Henry's Law Constant greater than 1x10<sup>5</sup> atm-m³/mole and a molecular weight less than 200 g/mole).



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>/ Based on a total inhalation rate of 20 m³/day adjusted for an 8-hour workday.

## TABLE 6 SURFACE SOIL - OFFICE WORKER NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

$$PPRG_{5} = \frac{THI \times BW \times AT \times 365 \text{ days/year}}{EF \times ED \times \left[ (IRa \times \frac{1}{RfDi} \times \frac{1}{PEF}) + (\frac{1}{RfDo} \times 10^{-6} \text{ kg/mg } \times IRs) \right]}$$

where:

<u>Variable</u>	Explanation (Units)	Default Value
PPRG₅	Risk-based PPRG for surface soil based on office worker use (mg/kg)	-
THI	target hazard index (unitless)	1
$\mathbf{BW}$	adult body weight (kg)	70 kg
AT	averaging time (years)	25 years
EF	exposure frequency (days/year)	250 days/year
ED	exposure duration (years)	25 years
IRa	workday inhalation rate (m <sup>3</sup> /day)	6.64 m <sup>3</sup> /day <sup>a/</sup>
RfDi	inhalation chronic reference dose (mg/kg-day)	COC-Specific
PEF	particulate emission factor (m³/kg)	$4.63 \times 10^9 \mathrm{m}^3/\mathrm{kg}$
RfDo	oral chronic reference dose (mg/kg-day)	COC-Specific
IRs	workday ingestion rate (mg/day)	50 mg/day

Source: USEPA, 1989; USEPA, 1991.

Note: Inhalation of particulates does not apply to volatile organics (i.e., Henry's Law Constant greater than 1x10<sup>5</sup> atm-m³/mole and molecular weight less than 200 g/mole.)



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a/</sup> Based on a total inhalation rate of 20 m<sup>3</sup>/day adjusted for an 8-hour workday.

## TABLE 7 SURFACE SOIL - OFFICE WORKER RADIOLOGICAL EFFECTS

$$PPRG_6 = \frac{TR}{ED \times \left[ (EF \times IRa \times SFi \times 10^3 \text{ g/kg} \times \frac{1}{PEF}) + (EF \times SFo \times 10^{-3} \text{ g/mg} \times IRs) + (SFe \times (1 - Se) \times Te) \right]}$$

where:

<u>Variable</u>	Explanation (Units)	Default Value
PPRG <sub>6</sub>	Risk-based PPRG for surface soil based on office worker use (pCi/g)	-
TR	target excess lifetime cancer risk (unitless)	10 <sup>-6</sup>
ED	exposure duration (years)	25 years
EF	exposure frequency (days/year)	250 days/year
IRa	workday inhalation rate (m <sup>3</sup> /day)	6.64 m <sup>3</sup> /day <sup>a/</sup>
SFi	inhalation cancer slope factor (risk/pCi)	COC-Specific
PEF	particulate emission factor (m³/kg)	$4.63 \times 10^9 \mathrm{m}^3/\mathrm{kg}$
SFo .	oral cancer slope factor (risk/pCi)	COC-Specific
IRs	workday ingestion rate (mg/day)	50 mg/day
SFe	external exposure slope factor (risk/yr per pCi/g)	COC-Specific
Se	gamma shielding factor (unitless)	0.2
Те	gamma exposure factor (unitless)	0.3

Source: USEPA, 1989; USEPA, 1991.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a/</sup> Based on a total inhalation rate of 20 m<sup>3</sup>/day adjusted for an 8-hour workday.

## TABLE 8 SURFACE SOIL - ECOLOGICAL RESEARCHER CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

$$PPRG_7 = \frac{TR \times BW \times AT \times 365 \text{ days/year}}{EF \times ED \times \left[ (SFi \times IRa \times \frac{1}{PEF}) + (SFo \times 10^{-6} \text{ kg/mg} \times IRs) \right]}$$

where:

<u>Variable</u>	Explanation (Units)	Default Value
PPRG <sub>7</sub>	Risk-based PPRG for surface soil based on ecological researcher	
·	use (mg/kg)	-
TR	target excess lifetime cancer risk (unitless)	10 <sup>-6</sup>
BW	adult body weight (kg)	70 kg
AT	averaging time (years)	70 years
EF	exposure frequency (days/year)	65 days/year b/
ED	exposure duration (years)	2.5 years b/
SFi	inhalation cancer slope factor (mg/kg-day)-1	COC-Specific
IRa	workday inhalation rate (m³/day)	6.64 m <sup>3</sup> /day <sup>a/</sup>
PEF	particulate emission factor (m³/kg)	$4.63 \times 10^9 \mathrm{m}^3/\mathrm{kg}$
SFo	oral cancer slope factor (mg/kg-day) <sup>-1</sup>	COC-Specific
IRs	workday ingestion rate (mg/day)	50 mg/day
 	AN 10001 NOT 10001	

Source: USEPA, 1991; DOE, 1993b, DOE, 1993c, DOE, 1993d.

Note: Inhalation of particulates does not apply to volatile organics (i.e., Henry's Law Constant greater than 1x10<sup>5</sup> atm-m³/mole and a molecular weight less than 200 g/mole).



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>/ Based on a total inhalation rate of 20 m³/day adjusted for an 8-hour workday.

b/ Site-specific exposure factors for Rocky Flats Plant.

## TABLE 9 SURFACE SOIL - ECOLOGICAL RESEARCHER NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

$$PPRG_8 = \frac{THI \times BW \times AT \times 365 \text{ days/year}}{EF \times ED \times \left[ (IRa \times \frac{1}{RfDi} \times \frac{1}{PEF}) + (\frac{1}{RfDo} \times 10^{-6} \text{ kg/mg} \times IRs) \right]}$$

where:

<u>Variable</u>	Explanation (Units)	Default Value
PPRG <sub>8</sub> :	Risk-based PPRG for surface soil based on ecological researcher	
_	use (mg/kg)	-
THI	target hazard index (unitless)	1
BW	adult body weight (kg)	70 kg
AT	averaging time (years)	2.5 years
EF	exposure frequency (days/year)	65 days/year b/
ED	exposure duration (years)	2.5 years b/
IRa	workday inhalation rate (m <sup>3</sup> /day)	6.64 m <sup>3</sup> /day <sup>a/</sup>
RfDi	inhalation chronic reference dose (mg/kg-day)	COC-Specific
PEF	particulate emission factor (m³/kg)	$4.63 \times 10^9 \text{ m}^3/\text{kg}$
RfDo	oral chronic reference dose (mg/kg-day)	COC-Specific
IRs	workday ingestion rate (mg/day)	50 mg/day

Source: USEPA, 1991; DOE, 1993b; DOE, 1993c; DOE, 1993d.

b/ Site-specific exposure factor for Rocky Flats Plant.

Note: Inhalation of particulates does not apply to volatile organics (i.e., Henry's Law Constant greater than 1x10<sup>5</sup> atm-m³/mole and a molecular weight less than 200 g/mole).



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a/</sup> Based on a total inhalation rate of 20 m<sup>3</sup>/day adjusted for an 8-hour workday.

### TABLE 10 SURFACE SOIL - ECOLOGICAL RESEARCHER RADIOLOGICAL EFFECTS

$$PPRG_9 = \frac{TR}{ED \ x \left[ (EF \ x \ IRa \ x \ SFi \ x \ 10^3 \ g/kg \ x \ \frac{1}{PEF}) + (EF \ x \ SFo \ x \ 10^{-3} \ g/mg \ x \ IRs) + (SFe \ x \ (1 \ - \ Se) \ x \ Te) \right]}$$

where:

<u>Variable</u>	Explanation (Units)	Default Value
PPRG <sub>9</sub>	Risk-based PPRG for surface soil based on ecological researcher	
	use (pCi/g)	-
TR	target excess lifetime cancer risk (unitless)	10 <sup>-6</sup>
ED	exposure duration (years)	2.5 years b/
EF	exposure frequency (days/year)	65 days/year b/
IRa	workday inhalation rate (m³/day)	6.64 m³/day a/
SFi	inhalation cancer slope factor (risk/pCi)	COC-Specific
PEF	particulate emission factor (m <sup>3</sup> /kg)	$4.63 \times 10^9 \text{ m}^3/\text{kg}$
SFo	oral cancer slope factor (risk/pCi)	COC-Specific
IRs	workday ingestion rate (mg/day) *	50 mg/day
SFe	external exposure slope factor (risk/yr per pCi/g)	COC-Specific
Se	gamma shielding factor (unitless)	0.2
Те	gamma exposure factor (unitless)	0.3

Source: USEPA, 1991; USEPA, 1993b; DOE, 1993b; DOE, 1993c; DOE, 1993d.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a/</sup> Based on a total inhalation rate of 20 m<sup>3</sup>/day adjusted for an 8-hour workday.

b' Site-specific exposure factor for Rocky Flats Plant.

#### 4.2.1 Residential Exposure

A scenario involving residential exposure to subsurface soils was not considered to be credible and was therefore not included in the calculation of risk-based PPRGs.

#### 4.2.2 Commercial/Industrial Exposure

The risk-based PPRG equations and assumptions are shown on Tables 11, 12, and 13 for potential carcinogens, noncarcinogens, and radionuclides, respectively. USEPA guidance does not specify exposure assumptions specific to a construction worker receptor. Therefore, site-specific information was used to develop assumptions for exposure frequency and exposure duration. All other exposure assumptions were based on USEPA guidance for a commercial/industrial land use scenario.

For the pathway involving inhalation of volatiles, a volatilization factor was calculated according to USEPA guidance as shown in Table 14. The volatilization model is applicable only if the soil concentration is at or below soil saturation. Thus, for those compounds for which the risk-based PPRG exceeds the soil saturation limit, the risk-based PPRG is set at the soil saturation limit. The soil saturation was calculated as shown on Table 15.

#### 4.2.3 Ecological Researcher Exposure

The likelihood of having an ecological researcher exposed to subsurface soils was not considered to be credible and was therefore not included in the calculation of risk-based PPRGs.

#### 4.3 Ground Water

This section presents the exposure pathways, equations, assumptions, and default values used to calculate the ground water risk-based PPRGs. Residential use of the ground water was the only receptor considered. The risk-based equations included the following exposure pathways:

- o Direct ingestion of ground water contaminated with organic and inorganic (including radionuclides) contaminants; and
- Inhalation of volatile organics during domestic use.

#### 4.3.1 Residential Exposure

The equations and assumptions used to derive risk-based PPRGs for residential use of ground water are shown on Table 16 for carcinogens, Table 17 for noncarcinogens, and Table 18 for radionuclides. All default exposure assumptions were based on USEPA guidance.

#### TABLE 11 SUBSURFACE SOIL - CONSTRUCTION WORKER CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

$$PPRG_{10} = \frac{TR \times BW \times AT \times 365 \text{ days/year}}{EF \times ED \times \left[ (SFi \times IRa \times (\frac{1}{PEF} + \frac{1}{VF})) + (SFo \times 10^{-6} \text{ kg/mg} \times IRs) \right]}$$

where:

<u>Variable</u>	Explanation (Units)	Default Value
PPRG <sub>10</sub>	Risk-based PPRG for subsurface soil based on construction worker	
	use (mg/kg)	-
TR	target excess lifetime cancer risk (unitless)	10-6
. <b>BW</b>	adult body weight (kg)	70 kg
AT	averaging time (years)	70 years
EF	exposure frequency (days/year)	30 days/year b/
ED	exposure duration (years)	1 year b/
SFi	inhalation cancer slope factor (mg/kg-day) <sup>-1</sup>	COC-Specific
IRa	workday inhalation rate (m³/day)	10 m <sup>3</sup> /day <sup>a/</sup>
PEF	particulate emission factor (m³/kg)	$4.63 \times 10^9 \text{ m}^3/\text{kg}$
VF	soil-to-air volatilization factor (m³/kg)	COC-Specific (See Table 14)
SFo	oral cancer slope factor (mg/kg-day) <sup>-1</sup>	COC-Specific
IRs	workday ingestion rate (mg/day)	480 mg/day

Source: USEPA, 1991; DOE, 1991; DOE, 1993a; DOE, 1993b; DOE, 1993c; DOE, 1993d.

- <sup>a</sup>/ Based on an hourly inhalation rate of 1.25 m<sup>3</sup>/hour over an 8-hour workday.
- b/ Site-specific exposure factor for Rocky Flats Plant.



## TABLE 12 SUBSURFACE SOIL - CONSTRUCTION WORKER NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

 $PPRG_{11} = \frac{THI \times BW \times AT \times 365 \text{ days/year}}{EF \times ED \times \left[ (IRa \times \frac{1}{RfDi} \times (\frac{1}{PEF} + \frac{1}{VF})) + (\frac{1}{RfDo} \times 10^{-6} \text{ kg/mg } \times IRs) \right]}$ 

where:

<u>Variable</u>	Explanation (Units)	Default Value
PPRG <sub>11</sub> THI BW AT EF ED IRa RfDi PEF VF RfDo	Risk-based PPRG for subsurface soil based on construction work use (mg/kg) target hazard index (unitless) adult body weight (kg) averaging time (years) exposure frequency (days/year) exposure duration (years) workday inhalation rate (m³/day) inhalation chronic reference dose (mg/kg-day) particulate emission factor (m³/kg) soil-to-air volatilization factor (m³/kg) oral chronic reference dose (mg/kg-day)	1 70 kg 1 year 30 days/year b/ 1 year b/ 1 year b/ 10 m³/day a/ COC-Specific 4.63 x 109 m³/kg COC-Specific (See Table 14) COC-Specific 480 mg/day
RfDi PEF VF	inhalation chronic reference dose (mg/kg-day) particulate emission factor (m³/kg) soil-to-air volatilization factor (m³/kg)	COC-Specific 4.63 x 10 <sup>9</sup> m <sup>3</sup> /kg COC-Specific (Se COC-Specific

Source: USEPA, 1991; DOE, 1991; DOE, 1993a; DOE, 1993b; DOE, 1993c; DOE, 1993d.

b/ Site-specific exposure factor for Rocky Flats Plant.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a/</sup> Based on an hourly inhalation rate of 1.25 m³/hour over an 8-hour workday.

#### TABLE 13 SUBSURFACE SOIL - CONSTRUCTION WORKER RADIOLOGICAL EFFECTS

 $PPRG_{12} = \frac{TR}{ED \ x \left[ (EF \ x \ IRa \ x \ SFi \ x \ 10^3 \ g/kg \ x \ \frac{1}{PEF}) + (EF \ x \ SFo \ x \ 10^{-3} \ g/mg \ x \ IRs) + (SFe \ x \ (1-Se) \ x \ Te) \right]}$ 

where:

<u>Variable</u>	Explanation (Units)	Default Value
PPRG <sub>12</sub>	Risk-based PPRG for subsurface soil based on construction worker	
	use (pCi/g)	-
TR	target excess lifetime cancer risk (unitless)	10 <sup>-6</sup>
ED	exposure duration (years)	1 year b/
EF	exposure frequency (days/year)	30 days/year b/
IRa	workday inhalation rate (m <sup>3</sup> /day)	10 m <sup>3</sup> /day a/
SFi	inhalation cancer slope factor (risk/pCi)	COC-Specific
PEF	particulate emission factor (m³/kg)	$4.63 \times 10^9 \text{ m}^3/\text{kg}$
SFo	oral cancer slope factor (risk/pCi)	COC-Specific
IRs	workday ingestion rate (mg/day)	480 mg/day
SFe	external exposure slope factor (risk/yr per pCi/g)	COC-Specific
Se	gamma shielding factor (unitless)	0.2
Te	gamma exposure factor (unitless)	0.3

Source: USEPA, 1991; DOE, 1991; DOE, 1993a; DOE, 1993b; DOE, 1993c; DOE, 1993d.

b/ Site-specific exposure factor for Rocky Flats Plant.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>/ Based on an hourly inhalation rate of 1.25 m<sup>3</sup>/hour over an 8-hour workday.

## TABLE 14 SUBSURFACE SOIL - CONSTRUCTION WORKER VOLATILIZATION FACTOR

$$VF = \frac{\frac{(LS \times V \times DH)}{A} \times (3.14 \times \alpha \times T)^{1/2}}{2 \times D_{ei} \times P_a \times K_{as}}$$

where,

$$\alpha = \frac{D_{ei} \times P_a}{P_a + \frac{(\rho_s)(1 - P_a)}{K_{as}}}$$

<u>Variable</u>	Explanation (Units)	Default Value
vF	volatilization factor (m³/kg)	
LS	length of side area (m)	45
V	wind speed in mixing zone (m/s)	2
DH	diffusion height (m)	2
Α	area of contamination (cm <sup>2</sup> )	20,250,000
$D_{ei}$	effective diffusivity (cm <sup>2</sup> /s)	$D_i \times (P_a^{3.33}/P_t^2)$
$P_a$	air-filled soil porosity (unitless)	P <sub>t</sub> - $\Theta$ B
$P_{t}$	total soil porosity (unitless)	$1-(\beta/\rho_s)$
θ	soil moisture content (cm³/water/g-soil)	10% or 0.1
В	soil bulk density (g/cm³)	1.5
$ ho_{s}$	true soil density or particle density (g/cm³)	2.65
K <sub>as</sub>	soil-air partition coefficient (g-soil/cm <sup>3</sup> -air)	$(H/K_d)$ x 41, (41 is a conversion factor)
T	exposure interval (s)	7.9 x 10 <sup>8</sup>
$D_{i}$	diffusivity in air (cm <sup>2</sup> /s)	COC-specific
H	Henry's Law constant (atm-m³/mole)	COC-specific
$K_d$	soil-water partition coefficient (cm³/g)	$K_{\infty} \times OC$
K <sub>oc</sub>	organic carbon partition coefficient (cm <sup>3</sup> )	COC-specific
OC	organic carbon content of soil (fraction)	2% or 0.02

Source: Dinan, 1992.

### TABLE 15 SUBSURFACE SOIL - CONSTRUCTION WORKER VOLATILIZATION FACTOR - SATURATED CONDITIONS

$$C_{sat} = \frac{(K_d \times C_w \times \beta) + (C_w \times P_w) + (C_w \times H^1 \times P_a)}{\beta}$$

where:

<u>Variable</u>	Explanation (Units)	Default Value
$C_{sat}$	soil saturation concentration (mg/kg)	
$K_d$	soil-water partition coefficient (L/kg)	$K_{\infty} \times OC$
K <sub>∞</sub>	organic carbon partition coefficient (L/kg)	2% or 0.02
OC	organic carbon content of soil fraction	COC-specific
$C_w$	upper-limit of free moisture in soil (mg/L water	$er)S \times \Theta_m$
$\Theta_{\mathfrak{m}}^{"}$	soil moisture content (kg-water/kg-soil)	10% or 0.1
S	solubility in water (mg/L water)	COC-specific
ß	soil bulk density (kg/L)	1.5
$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{w}}$	water filled soil porosity (unitless)	$P_t - P_a$
$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}}^{"}$	air-filled soil porosity (unitless)	P <sub>t</sub> -Oß
Θ	soil moisture content (L water/kg soil)	10% or 0.1
$\mathbf{P}_{t}$	total soil porosity (unitless)	$1 - (\beta/\rho_s)$
$ ho_{ extsf{s}}$	true soil density or particle density (kg/L)	2.65
$\mathbf{H}^1$	Henry's Law constant (unitless)	H x 41, (41 is a
		conversion factor)
H	Henry's Law constant (atm-m³/mole)	COC-specific

Source: Dinan, 1992.

## TABLE 16 GROUND WATER - RESIDENTIAL USE CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

$$PPRG_{13} = \frac{TR \times BW \times AT \times 365 \text{ days/year}}{EF \times ED \times [\text{ (SFi } \times IRa \times K) + (SFo \times IRw)]}$$

where:

<u>Variable</u>	Explanation (Units)	Default Value
PPRG <sub>13</sub>	Risk-based PPRG for ground water based on residential use (mg/L)	•
TR	target excess lifetime cancer risk (unitless)	10-6
BW	adult body weight (kg)	70 kg
AT	averaging time (years)	70 years
EF	exposure frequency (days/year)	350 days/year
ED	exposure duration (years)	30 years
SFi	inhalation cancer slope factor (mg/kg-day) <sup>-1</sup>	COC-Specific
IRa	daily indoor inhalation rate (m <sup>3</sup> /day)	15 m³/day
K	volatilization factor (L/m³)	$0.0005 \times 1000 \text{ L/m}^3$
SFo	oral cancer slope factor (mg/kg-day)-1	COC-Specific
IRw	daily water ingestion rate (L/day)	2 L/day

Source: USEPA, 1991.

Note: Inhalation component applies only to volatile organics (i.e., Henry's Law Constant greater than 1x10<sup>-5</sup> atm-m<sup>3</sup>/mole and molecular weight less than 200 g/mole.)



#### 'n

### TABLE 17 GROUND WATER - RESIDENTIAL USE NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

$$PPRG_{14} = \frac{THI \times BW \times AT \times 365 \text{ days/year}}{EF \times ED \times \left[ (IRa \times \frac{1}{RfDi} \times K) + (\frac{1}{RfDo} \times IRw) \right]}$$

where:

,	<u>Variable</u>	Explanation (Units)	Default Value
	PPRG <sub>14</sub>	Risk-based PPRG for ground water based on residential use (mg/L)	-
	THI	target hazard index (unitless)	1
3	BW	adult body weight (kg)	70 kg
	AT	averaging time (years)	30 years
	EF	exposure frequency (days/year)	350 days/year
	ED	exposure duration (years)	30 years
	IRa	daily indoor inhalation rate (m³/day)	15 m <sup>3</sup> /day
	RfDi	inhalation chronic reference dose (mg/kg-day)	COC-Specific
	KIDI	volatilization factor (L/m³)	$0.0005 \times 1000 \text{ L/m}^3$
		oral chronic reference dose (mg/kg-day)	COC-Specific
	RfDo IRw	daily water ingestion rate (L/day)	2 L/day

Source: USEPA, 1991.

Note: Inhalation component applies only to volatile organics (i.e., Henry's Law Constant greater than 1x10<sup>-5</sup> atm-m³/mole and molecular weight less than 200 g/mole.)



### TABLE 18 GROUND WATER - RESIDENTIAL USE RADIOLOGICAL EFFECTS

 $PPRG_{15} = \frac{TR}{EF \times ED \times (SFo \times IRw)}$ 

where:

<u>Variable</u>	Explanation (Units)	Default Value
PPRG <sub>15</sub>	Risk-based PPRG for ground water based on residential use (pCi/L)	-
TR	target excess lifetime cancer risk (unitless)	10 <sup>-6</sup>
EF	exposure frequency (days/year)	350 days/year
ED	exposure duration (years)	30 years
SFo	oral cancer slope factor (risk/pCi)	COC-Specific
IRw	daily water ingestion rate (L/day)	2 L/day

Source: USEPA, 1991.



#### 4.3.2 Commercial/Industrial Exposure

A scenario involving commercial/industrial exposure to ground water was not considered to be credible and was therefore not included in the calculation of risk-based PPRGs.

#### 4.3.3 Ecological Researcher Exposure

A scenario involving exposure of an ecological researcher to ground water was not considered to be credible and was therefore not included in the calculation of risk-based PPRGs.

#### 4.4 Surface Water

This section presents the exposure pathways, equations, assumptions, and default values used to calculate the surface water risk-based PPRGs for each receptor scenario. The receptors considered include residential use and ecological researcher. The risk-based equations for the residential receptor were based on exposure via swimming, while the risk-based equations for the ecological researcher were based on exposure via wading. For both receptors, the exposure pathways included direct ingestion of surface water.

#### 4.4.1 Residential Exposure

The equations and assumptions used to derive risk-based PPRGs for residential exposure to surface water while swimming are shown on Tables 19 through 21 for carcinogens, noncarcinogens, and radionuclides, respectively. All assumptions were based on USEPA guidance.

#### 4.4.2 Commercial/Industrial Exposure

The likelihood of having a commercial/industrial exposure to surface water was not considered to be credible and was therefore not included in the calculation of risk-based PPRGs.

#### 4.4.3 Ecological Researcher Exposure

The risk-based PPRG equations and assumptions for exposure of an ecological researcher to surface water while wading are shown on Tables 22 through 24 for carcinogens, noncarcinogens, and radionuclides, respectively. USEPA guidance does not provide default values specific to this receptor. Therefore, site-specific information was used to determine exposure frequency and duration. All other exposure assumptions were based on USEPA guidance for swimming.

## TABLE 19 SURFACE WATER - RESIDENTIAL USE CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

 $PPRG_{16} = \frac{TR \times BW \times AT \times 365 \text{ days/year}}{CRw \times ET \times EF \times ED \times SFo}$ 

where:

<u>Variable</u>	Explanation (Units)	Default Value
PPRG <sub>16</sub> TR SFo BW AT EF ED CRW ET	Risk-based PPRG for surface water based on residential use (mg/L) target excess lifetime cancer risk (unitless) oral cancer slope factor (mg/kg-day) <sup>-1</sup> adult body weight (kg) averaging time (years) exposure frequency (days/year) exposure duration (years) contact rate (L/hour) exposure time (hours/day)	10 <sup>-6</sup> COC-Specific 70 kg 70 years 7 days/year 30 years 0.05 L/hour 2.6 hours/day
	·	

Source: USEPA, 1989.

### TABLE 20 SURFACE WATER - RESIDENTIAL USE NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

 $PPRG_{17} = \frac{THI \times BW \times AT \times 365 \text{ days/year } \times RfDo}{CRw \times ET \times EF \times ED}$ 

where:

<u>Variable</u>	Explanation (Units)	Default Value
PPRG <sub>17</sub>	Risk-based PPRG for surface water based on residential use (mg/L)	-
THI	target hazard index (unitless)	1
RfDo	oral chronic reference dose (mg/kg-day)	COC-Specific
BW	adult body weight (kg)	70 kg
AT	averaging time (years)	30 years
EF	exposure frequency (days/year)	7 days/year
ED	exposure duration (years)	30 years
CRw	contact rate (L/hour)	0.05 L/hour
ET	exposure time (hours/day)	2.6 hours/day

Source: USEPA, 1989.



## TABLE 21 SURFACE WATER - RESIDENTIAL USE RADIOLOGICAL EFFECTS

$$PPRG_{18} = \frac{TR}{SFo \ x \ EF \ x \ ED \ x \ CRw \ x \ ET}$$

where:

<u>Variable</u>	Explanation (Units)	Default Value
PPRG <sub>18</sub> TR SFo EF ED CRw ET	Risk-based PPRG for surface water based on residential use (pCi/L) target excess lifetime cancer risk (unitless) oral cancer slope factor (mg/kg-day) <sup>-1</sup> exposure frequency (days/year) exposure duration (years) contact rate (L/hour) exposure time (hours/day)	10 <sup>-6</sup> COC-Specific 7 days/year 30 years 0.05 L/hour 2.6 hours/day

Source: USEPA, 1989; USEPA, 1991.

### TABLE 22 SURFACE WATER - ECOLOGICAL RESEARCHER CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

$$PPRG_{19} = \frac{TR \times BW \times AT \times 365 \text{ days/year}}{IRw \times EF \times ED \times SFo}$$

where:

<u>Variable</u>	Explanation (Units)	Default Value
PPRG <sub>19</sub>	Risk-based PPRG for surface water based on ecological researcher use (mg/L)	-
TR	target excess lifetime cancer risk (unitless)	10 <sup>-6</sup>
SFo	oral cancer slope factor (mg/kg-day) <sup>-1</sup>	COC-Specific
BW	adult body weight (kg)	70 kg
AT	averaging time (years)	70 years
EF	exposure frequency (events/year)	7 events/year <sup>a/</sup>
ED	exposure duration (years)	2.5 years <sup>a</sup>
IRw	ingestion rate (L/event)	0.05 L/event

Source: USEPA, 1989; DOE, 1993c; DOE, 1993d.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Site-specific exposure factor for Rocky Flats Plant.

## TABLE 23 SURFACE WATER - ECOLOGICAL RESEARCHER NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

 $PPRG_{20} =$ 

THI x BW x AT x 365 days/year x RfDo

IRw x EF x ED

where:

<u>Variable</u>	Explanation (Units)	Default Value
PPRG <sub>20</sub>	Risk-based PPRG for surface water based on ecological researcher use (mg/L)	. · ·
THI	target hazard index (unitless)	1
RfDo	oral chronic reference dose (mg/kg-day)	COC-Specific
BW	adult body weight (kg)	70 kg
AT	averaging time (years)	2.5 years
EF	exposure frequency (events/year)	7 events/year a/
ED	exposure duration (years)	2.5 years a
IRw	ingestion rate (L/event)	0.05 L/event

Source: USEPA, 1989; DOE, 1993c; DOE, 1993d.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>/ Site-specific exposure factor for Rocky Flats Plant.

### TABLE 24 SURFACE WATER - ECOLOGICAL RESEARCHER RADIOLOGICAL EFFECTS

$$PPRG_{21} = \frac{TR}{SFo \ x \ EF \ x \ ED \ x \ IRw}$$

where:

<u>Variable</u>	Explanation (Units)	Default Value
PPRG <sub>21</sub>	Risk-based PPRG for surface water based on ecological researcher use (pCi/L)	-
TR	target excess lifetime cancer risk (unitless)	10-6
SFo	oral cancer slope factor (mg/kg-day)-1	COC-Specific
EF	exposure frequency (events/year)	7 events/year <sup>a/</sup>
ED	exposure duration (years)	2.5 years al
IRw	ingestion rate (L/event)	0.05 L/event

Source: USEPA, 1991; DOE, 1993c; DOE, 1993d.



a/ Site-specific exposure factor for Rocky Flats Plant.

#### 5.0 CONTAMINANT TOXICITY INFORMATION

The COC-specific toxicology values used for the calculation of the risk-based PPRGs are presented in Table 25. The toxicity information used to calculate the risk-based PPRGs included the slope factor and unit risk for evaluating carcinogenic effects and the reference dose (RfD) and the reference concentration (RfC) for evaluating noncarcinogenic effects. Toxicity values were obtained from the latest information contained on the Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS). If values were not available from IRIS, the *Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables Annual Update*, (USEPA 1994a) was consulted. Values for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons were calculated using USEPA guidance entitled *Provisional Guidance for Quantitative Risk Assessment of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons* (USEPA 1993c).

### 6.0 RISK-BASED PROGRAMMATIC PRELIMINARY REMEDIATION GOALS

For each potential COC, the calculated risk-based PPRG for the exposure scenario (i.e., receptor and environmental media combination identified on Table 1) are given on Table 26. Where a chemical has both carcinogenic and noncarcinogenic effects, the more stringent of the calculated risk-based levels was selected as the risk-based PPRG. The calculated risk-based PPRGs are generally pertinent to all of the OUs should the contaminant be identified as an OU-specific COC. However, OU-specific factors may disqualify some or all of the risk-based PPRGs should these factors preclude one or more of the exposure pathways which formed the basis of the risk-based equations. For example, the risk-based PPRGs for the ground water media may not be applicable at OUs where the ground water is not of sufficient quantity or quality to support domestic residential use. Also, residential use risk-based PPRGs may not be appropriate for areas where the future land use will be solely devoted to commercial and/or industrial facilities.

As stated early, the programmatic risk-based PRGs presented in Table 26 are not intended to be the final cleanup standards listed in the ROD. Other factors such as, but not limited to, background contaminant concentrations, results of the OU-specific BRA, technology limitations, detection methods, chemical-specific ARARs, cost-benefit evaluations, worker safety, and ecological effects will need to be considered when establishing the final cleanup standards. The risk-based PPRGs are to be used as a standardized set of limits to enable screening of potential remedial technologies and alternatives. As additional information is obtained through the RFI/RI and CMS/FS processes, it may be determined that the risk-based PPRGs are not representative of the actual risk posed by the contamination at the OU. If this situation occurs, the required changes will be incorporated as soon as possible during the Development and Screening of Alternatives or Detailed Analysis of Alternatives.

# TABLE 25 COC-Specific Toxicity Values\*

		Γ	· 		1			1	· ·
	Oral	Oral	Inhalation	Inhalation	External	Henry's Law		Water	
Trans Analys List	RfD	Slope Factor	RfD	Slope Factor	Slope Factor	Constant	Koc	Solubility	
Target Analyte List		, .	J	(mg/kg-day)-1	(risk/yr per pCi/g)	(atm-m³/mol)	(ml/g)	(mg/L)	Diffusivity
Chemical	(mg/kg-day)	(mg/kg-day) <sup>-1</sup>	(mg/kg-day)	(mg/kg-day)	(risk/yr per per/g)	(atiii—iii /iiioi)	(mi/g)	(IIIg/L)	Dillusivity
Acenaphthene#	6.00E~02		_	_	_	9.20E-05 k	4600 k	3,42E+00 k	
Acenaphthylene#	-	_		<del>-</del>	_	1.48E-03 k	2500 k	3.93E+00 k	
Acetone#	1.00E~01			_	_	2.06E-05 k	2.2 k	1.00E+06 k	0.1093 1
Aldrin	3.00E-05	1.70E+01	_	1.70E+01 b	_ :	1.60E-05 k	96000 k		
Aluminum	_	_	_	-	_	_		1	
Anthracene#	3.00E-01	-		_	-	1.02E-03 k	14000 k	4.50E-02 k	
Antimony	4.00E-04	_		_		-			
Aroclor – 1016	7.00E-05	<del>-</del>	-	_	_	1.07E-03 k	530000 k		0.05571
Aroclor – 1221	_	7.70E+00	: -	-		1.07E-03 k	530000 k		0.05571
Aroclor-1232	_	7.70E+00	_	_		1.07E-03 k	530000 k		0.05571
Aroclor-1242	_	7.70E+00	_	_		1.07E-03 k	530000 k		0.05571
Aroclor – 1248		7.70E+00 c	_		-	1.07E-03 k	530000 k		0.05571
Aroclor – 1254	_	7.70E+00	_	-		1.07E-03 k	530000 k		0.05571
Aroclor - 1260		7.70E+00		_		1.07E-03 k	530000 k		0.05571
Arsenic	3.00E-04	1.75E+00 g		1.51E+01		_			
Barium	7.00E-02		1.43E-04 b					<u> </u>	
Benzene#	-	2.90E-02		2.90E-02		5.59E-03 k	83 k	1.75E+03 k	0.09234 1
alpha-BHC	_	6.30E+00		6.30E+00	<del>-</del>	5.87E-06 k	3800 k	11722100 1	9,0725 1.1
beta-BHC	_	1.80E+00	l. <u>-</u>	1.86E+00	<del> </del>	4.47E-07 k	3800 k	<del> </del>	
delta-BHC			<del>-</del>	-		2.07E-07 k	6600 k	<del> </del>	
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	3.00E-04	1.30E+00 b			_	7.85E-06 k	1080 k	<del></del>	
Benzo(a)anthracene	J.00L 04	7.30E-01 i	_			1.16E-06 k	1380000 k	<del> </del>	
Benzo(a)pyrene		7.30E+00	: -			1.55E-06 k	5500000 k		
Benzo(b)fluoranthene		7.30E+00	<del></del>		<del> </del>	1.19E-05 k	550000 k		
) — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	=	7.5012-011	<u> </u>	_	_	5.34E-08 k	1600000 k		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene Benzo(k)fluoranthene	<del>                                     </del>	7.30E-02 i	<del></del>			3.94E-05 k	550000 k	<del> </del>	
Benzoic Acid	4.00E+00	7.30E=021		_		3.54E 05 K	330000 K		
Benzyl Alcohol	3.00E-01 b		<del>  _</del>	<u> </u>					
Beryllium	5.00E-03	4.30E+00		8.40E+00 b	- ;				
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane#	- J.60E-03	4.30E + 00	_•	0.4027000	-	1.70E-07	7		
	<del></del>		<del>                                     </del>	1.105 + 00		<del></del>	13.9 k	1.02E+04 k	
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether#	- 4 005 00	1.10E+00	<del></del>	1.10E+00		1.31E~05 k			
bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether#	4.00E-02	7.00E-02 b	<del>-</del>	3.50E-02 b	<del>-</del>	1.13E-04 k	61 k	1.70E+03 k	<del></del>
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.00E-02	1.40E-02	<u> </u>	-	<u>-</u>	1.00E-04	10000		· <del></del>
Bromodichloromethane#	2.00E-02	6.20E-02	<del>-</del>	-	<del>-</del>	1.60E-03	53		0.000
Bromoform#	2.00E-02	7.90E-03	-	3.90E-03	<del>-</del>	6.60E-04	98		0.1088 1
Bromomethane#	1.40E-03		1.43E-03		- :	6.24E-03	126	<del></del>	
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether		<del>-</del>	-						0.00.05.1
2-Butanone#	6.00E-01	-	2.86E-01		- `			ļ	0.09485 1
Butylbenzylphthalate	2.00E-01	ļ <del>-</del>	<u> </u>	_					
Cadmium	5.00E-04			6.30E+00	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u>-</u>	
Calcium					<u> </u>			<del></del>	
Carbon disulfide#	1.00E-01			-		1.23E-02 k	54 k	2.94E+03 k	<del></del>
Carbon tetrachloride#	7.00E-04	1.30E-01	-	5.25E-02	-	2.41E-02 k	110 k	7.57E+02 k	0.08451 I
Carbon tetrachloride#	-	<u> -                                   </u>			<u></u>				<u> </u>

# TABLE 25 COC-Specific Toxicity Values\*

									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Oral	Oral	Inhalation	Inhalation	External	Henry's Law		Water	
Target Analyte List	RfD	Slope Factor	RfD	Slope Factor	Slope Factor	Constant	Koc	Solubility	
Chemical	(mg/kg-day)	(mg/kg-day)-1	(mg/kg-day)	(mg/kg-day)-1	(risk/yr per pCi/g)	(atm-m³/mol)	(ml/g)	(mg/L)	Diffusivity
									,
alpha-Chlordane	6.00E-05 d	1.30E+00 d		1.30E+00 d	-	9.63E-06 k	140000 k		
beta-Chlordane	6.00E-05 d	1.30E+00 d	_	1.30E+00 d		9.63E-06 k	140000 k		
gamma-Chlordane	6.00E-05 d	1.30E+00 d		1.30E+00 d	<u> </u>	9.63E-06 k	140000 k		
4-Chloroaniline	4.00E-03	<del>-</del>	-	_	<u> </u>				
Chlorobenzene#	2.00E-02	_	5.71E-03 b	_		3.72E-03 k	330 k	4.66E+02 k	0.07627 1
Chloroethane#	<del>-</del>		2.86E+00		· <u>-</u> ,	8.48E-03	33		0.11031
Chloroform#	1.00E-02	6.10E-03	<b>-</b>	8.05E-02		2.87E-03 k	31 k	8.20E+03 k	0.09404 1
Chloromethane#	_	1.30E-02 b	-	6.30E-03 b		8.82E-02	<u></u>		0.11827 1
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	<del>-</del>		_	-					
2-Chloronaphthalene#	8.00E-02	_	-	_					
2-Chlorophenol#	5.00E-03	_	_	-		1.30E-05	15	<u> </u>	
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	_	_	_	-	_				
Chromium III	1.00E+00	_	_		<u>-</u>	-			
Chromium VI	5.00E-03	T		4.20E+01	<b>-</b>	_			
Chyrsene		7.30E-03 i		_	<u>-</u>	1.05E-06 k	200000 k		•
Cobalt	_	_	_						
Copper	4.00E-02 b	_	_	-	<del>-</del>	_			
Cyanide	2.00E-02	_	_	_	- '				
4,4-DDD	·-	2.40E-01				7.96E-06 k	770000 k		
4,4-DDE	_	3.40E-01			<u> </u>	6.80E-05 k	4400000 k		
4,4-DDT	5.00E-04	3.40E-01		3.40E-01		5.13E-04 k	243000 k		
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	_	7.30E+00 i			<u> </u>	7.33E-08 k	3300000 k		
Dibenzofuran	_								
Dibromochloromethane	2.00E-02	8.40E-02			<u> </u>			<u></u>	
Di-n-butylphthalate	1.00E-01	_		_	<u>- : </u>	2.82E-07 k	170000 k		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1,2-Dichlorobenzene#	9.00E-02	-	5.60E-02 b		<b>_</b>	1.93E-03 k	1700 k	1.00E+02 k	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene#	_		_			3.59E-03 k	1700 k	1.23E+02 k	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene#	<u>-</u>	2.40E-02 b	8.00E-01		<u> </u>	2.89E-03 k	1700 k	7.90E+01 k	
3,3-Dichlorobenzidine	_	4.50E-01		-	<u>-</u>	8.33E-07 k	1553 k		
1,1-Dichloroethane#	1.00E-01 b		1.43E-01	-		4.31E-03 k	_30 k	5.50E+03 k	0.09643 1
1,2-Dichloroethane#	-	9.10E-02	_	9.10E-02		9.78E-04 k	14 k	8.52E+03 k	0.09643 1
1.1-Dichloroethene#	9.00E-03	6.00E-01	_	1.75E-01	<b>-</b>	3.40E-02 k	65 k	2.25E+03 k	0.08386 1
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)#	9.00E-03 b	_	_	_	_		36		0.08386 1
2,4-Dichlorophenol	3.00E-03	_	_	_		2.75E-06 k	380 k		
1,2-Dichloropropane#	_	6.80E-02 b	1.14E-03	_		2.31E-03 k	51 k	2.70E+03 k	
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene#	3.00E-04	1.80E-01 b,c	5.71E-03	1.30E-01 b,e	_	2.40E-03	23		
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene#	3.00E-04	1.80E-01 b,e	5.71E-03	1.30E-01 b,e		1.80E-03	26		
Dieldrin	5.00E-05	1.60E+01	_	1.60E+01	_	4.58E-07 k	1700 k		
Diethylphthalate	8.00E-01	_	_		- '	1.14E-06 k	142 k		
2,4-Dimethylphenol#	2.00E-02	_	_	_		6.00E-07	425		
Dimethylphthalate	1.00E+01	_	_	_	-				
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol		_	_	-	_	4.80E-11	225		
2,4-Dinitrophenol	2.00E-03	_	_	_	-	6.45E-10 k	16.6 k		
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	2.00E-03	_	_	-		5.09E-06 k	45 k		
2.6-Dinitrotolucne	1.00E-03 b	6.80E-01	_	_		3.27E-06 k	92 k		



TABLE 25
COC-Specific Toxicity Values\*

Target Analyte List	Oral RfD	Oral Slope Factor	Inhalation RfD	Inhalation Slope Factor	External Slope Factor	Henry's Law Constant	Koc	Water Solubility	
Chemical	(mg/kg-day)	(mg/kg-day)-1	(mg/kg-day)	(mg/kg-day)-1	(risk/yr per pCi/g)	(atm-m³/mol)	(ml/g)	(mg/L)	Diffusivity
Chromon	\	(			(				
Di-n-octylphthalate	2.00E-02	1.40E-02	_	_	-				
Endosulfan I	6.00E-03 b,f	_		_					
Endosulfan II	6.00E-03 b,f	_		_	_				
Endosulfan sulfate	6.00E-03 b,f	_		_	-				
Endosulfan (technical)	6.00E-03 b	_	_	_	-				
Endrin ketone	<b>-</b>	_	_	_	- ;				
Endrin (technical)	3.00E-04	_			-				
Ethylbenzene#	1.00E-01	_	2.86E-01	_	_	6.43E-03 k	1100 k	1.52E+02 k	0.0707 1
Fluoranthene	4.00E-02	_	_	_		6.46E-06 k	38000 k		
Fluorene#	4.00E-02	_	_	_	-	6.42E-05 k	7300 k	1.69E+00 k	
Heptachlor	5.00E-04	4.50E+00	-	4.50E+00	-	8.19E-04 k	12000 k		
Heptachlor epoxide	1.30E-05	9.10E+00		9.10E+00	_	4.39E-04 k	220 k		
Hexachlorobenzene	8.00E-04	1.60E+00	_	1.60E+00	-	6.81E-04 k	3900 k		
Hexachlorobutadiene	2.00E-04 b	7.80E-02	_	7.70E-02	_	4.57E+00 k	29000 k		
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	7.00E-03	<u> </u>	2.00E-05 b	_	-	1.37E-02 k	4800 k		
Hexachloroethane	1.00E-03	1.40E-02	_	1.40E-02	-	2.49E-03 k	20000 k		
2-Hexanone#				-		3.39E-05	134		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	<u>-</u>	7.30E-01 i	_	-		6.86E-08 k	1600000 k		
Iron		-			-				
Isophorone	2.00E-01	9.50E-04	-	<b>-</b>	-				
Lead			_	-	_ '	-			
Lithium	_	-	_	<b>-</b>					
Magnesium			<u> </u>	-					
Manganese	5.00E-03	-	1.43E-05			_	·		
Mercury	3.00E-04 b		8.40E-05 h		-				
Methoxychlor	5.00E-03	=		_	- '				
Methylene chloride#	6.00E-02	7.50E-03	_	1.64E-03	-		48		
2-Methylnaphthalene#		_	-	· <u> </u>		5.18E-04	8500		
4-Methyl-2-pentanone#	8.00E-02 b	_	2.24E-02 h	-	-	9.40E-05	19		
2-Methylphenol	5.00E-02	-			·				
4-Methylphenol		<u> </u>							
Molybdenum	5.00E-03	-		<u> </u>	<b>-</b> _	_			
Naphthalene#			_	_	_		594		
Nickel	2.00E-02	_	_	_		_			
2-Nitroaniline		<u> </u>		_	_				
3-Nitroaniline			_	_	-				
4-Nitroaniline				_					
Nitrobenzene#	5.00E-04		5.60E-04 h	<u>-</u>		2.20E-05	36 k	1.90E+03 k	
2-Nitrophenol	-	-	_	<del>-</del>	- :				
4-Nitrophenol#		-	_	_	-		21		
n-Nitrosodiphenylamine#	<u> </u>	4.90E-03		_	_	6.40E-04	1200		
n-Nitrosodipropylamine	_	7.00E+00	-	_		6.92E-06 k	15 k	9.90E+03 k	
Pentachlorophenol	3.00E-02	1.20E-01	_	_		2.75E-06 k	53000 k		
Phenanthrene#		_			- :	1.59E-04 k	14000 k	1.00E+00 k	
Phenol	6.00E-01		_	_		4.54E-07 k	14.2 k		0.08924 1

15 MAG)

		I						<u> </u>	
	Oral	Oral	Inhalation	Inhalation	External	Henry's Law		Water	
Trans. Analysis List	RfD	Slope Factor	RfD	Slope Factor	Slope Factor	Constant	Koc	Solubility	
Target Analyte List	l l	•		(mg/kg-day) <sup>-1</sup>	(risk/yr per pCi/g)	(atm-m³/mol)	(ml/g)	1	Diffusivity
Chemical	(mg/kg-day)	(mg/kg-day) <sup>-1</sup>	(mg/kg-day)	(mg/kg-day)	(risk/yr per pCi/g)	(aim-m/moi)	(m/g)	(mg/L)	Dillusivity
Potassium		_							
Pyrene	3.00E-02		<del>_</del>			5.04E-06 k	38000 k		
Selenium	5.00E-03						<del></del>		
Silver	5.00E-03								
Sodium	<u> </u>		_	<u> </u>					
Strontium	6.00E-01								
Stryene#	2.00E-01		2.86E-01			5.20E-03	270		0.0746 1
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane#	_	2.00E-01		2.00E-01		3.81E-04 k	118 k	2.90E+03 k	
Tetrachloroethene#	1.00E-02	5.20E-02 j			<del>-</del>	2.59E-02 k	364 k		0.07852 1
Thallium	_	_	-	_	_	_			
Tin	6.00E-01 b	_	-	_	<b>-</b>				
Toluene#	2.00E-01	_	1.14E-01		_	6.37E-03 k	300 k	5.35E+02 k	0.08301 1
Toxaphene	_	1.10E+00	_	1.10E+00	_	4.36E-01 k	964 k		
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene#	1.00E-02	_	5.60E-02 h	-	_	2.31E-03 k	9200 k	3.00E+01 k	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane#			_	_		1.44E-02 k	152 k		
1,1,2-Trichloroethane#	4.00E-03	5.70E-02	_	5.60E-02	-	1.17E-03 k	56 k	4.50E+03 k	
Trichloroethene#	_	_		_		9.10E-03 k	126 k	1.10E+03 k	0.086061
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	1.00E-01	_	_	_	_ i	2.18E-04 k	89 k		
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	_	1.10E-02		1.00E-02	_	3.90E-06 k	2000 k		
Vanadium	7.00E-03 b	_	_	_					
Vinyl acetate	1.00E+00 b	<del>  _     _</del>	5.71E-02	_			·		
Vinyl chloride#	_	1.90E+00 b	_	3.00E-01 b	_	8.19E-02 k	57 k	2.67E+03 k	0.11375 1
Xylene (total)#	2.00E+00	_	_	_		7.04E-03 k	240 k	1.98E+02 k	0.07597 1
Zinc	3.00E-01	T	_	_	_	_			3,0,0,7,1
2.110	3.0013 01	<del> </del>		<del>                                     </del>					
Nitrate	1.60E+00	<u> </u>	_		<del></del>		·		
Nitrate	1.00E-01	<del>                                     </del>							
pli	- 1.00E-01								-
Sulfide	<del>                                     </del>								
Sulide	<del></del>	<del></del>							
Ammonium		<del> </del>	_			· ·			
Bicarbonate	_	_	_	_	_				
Bromide			-		_				
Carbonate	_	-	_	· -	_				
Chloride	_		_	-					
Cyanide	-	·							<del></del>
Fluoride	6.00E-02	_		_	_				
Orthophosphate		<del>                                     </del>							
Silica (as Si and SiO,)		<del> </del>							
Sulfate			<del>-</del>						
		<b> </b>							, ,
Americium-241		2.40E-10 b°	<u> </u>	3.20E-08 b*	4.90E-09 b			_	
Cesium-137	-	2.80E-11 b*	-	1.90E-11 b*	0.00E+00 b				
Plutonium – 239	_	2.30E-10 b°		3.80E-08 b*	1.70E-11 b				3.74

<u>ა</u>

COC-Specific Toxicity Values\* TABLE 25

		_								
	Oral	Oral	Inhalation	Inhalation	External	Henry's Law	77	Water		
Target Analyte List	RM	Slope Factor	RſD	Slope Factor	Slope Factor	Constant	Koc	Solubility		
Chemical	(mg/kg-day)	(mg/kg-day) <sup>-1</sup>	(mg/kg-day)	(mg/kg-day)-1	(risk/yr per pCi/g)	(atm-m³/mol)	(ml/g)	(mg/L)	Diffusivity	
Physical property 240	-	2 3013-10 1.4		3 000 00 1.4	1 11 (10)					
200		200-100		2.00 5.00 6	0 11=10/7		•			
Kadlum - 220	ı	1.20E-10 b*	1	3.00E:-09 b*	1.2015-08 b					
Radium - 228	!	1.00E-10 b*	ı	6.60E-10 b*	0.00E+00 b					
Strontium - 89	ŀ	3.00E-12 b*	ī	2.90E-12 b*	4.70B-10 b					
Strontium-90	١	3.30E-11 b*	1	5.60E-11 b*	0.00E+00 b					
Tritium	-		1							
Uranium-233	1	1.60E-11 b*	ŧ	2.70E-08 b*	4.20E-11 b					
Uranium-234	1	1.60E-11 b*	-	2.60E-08 b*	3.00E-11 b					
Uranium-235	ı	1.60E-11 b*	1	2.50E-08 b*	2.40E-07 b					
Uranium-238	1	1.60E-11 b*	ı	2.40E-08 b*	2.10E-11 b					
									,	

# =Chemicals listed are volatile.

= Values given are in units of risk/pCi.

a = All toxicity values are from IRIS, October 1994 unless otherwise noted.

b = Value from HEAST, 1994.

c = Values given are for PCBs.

d = Values given are for chlordane.

c = Values given are for 1,3-dichloropropene.

= Values given are for endosulfan.

g = Vahue given for arsenic is calculated from an oral unit risk of  $SE - 5 (1/\mu g)$ .

h = Values given for chemicals were calculated from HEAST.

= Values given for PAIIs were found in the EPA guidance document "Research and Development-

Provisional Guidance for Quantitative Risk Assessment of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons." = Values given for tetrachloroethene are from a U.S. EPA memo from the Office of Research and

k = Values given are found in the Superfund Public Health Evaluation Manual, 1986. Development Environmental Criteria and Assessment Office.

I = Values given are found in the Superfund Exposure Assessment Manual, 1988.

	T	Residential		Office	Construction	Wading	Soil
	Residential	Surface Water	Residential	Worker	Worker	Ecological	Ecological
Target Analyte List	Groundwater	Swimming	Soil	Soil	Subsurface Soil	Worker	Worker
Chemical	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/L)	(mg/kg)
Chemical	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/kg)	(ing/kg):	(IIIg/Kg)	(mg/L)	(ing/kg)
Acenaphthene#	2.19E+00	1.68E+03	1.65E+04	1.23E+05	1.06E+05	4.38E+03	1.48E+05
Acenaphthylene#	2.152 7 00		- 1.03L 1 04	-	- 1.00E 103	- 4.50 <u>E</u> 1 05	-
Acetone#	3.65E+00	2.81E+03	2.74E+04	2.04E+05	1.77E+05	7.30E+03	2.47E+05
Aldrin	5.00E-06	3.85E-03	3.77E-02	3.36E-01	7.30E+00	1.20E-01	4.07E-01
Aluminum	- 5.002 00	-			-		
Anthracene#	1.09E+01	8.42E+03	8.23E+04	6.13E+05	5.32E+05	2.19E+04	7.41E+05
Antimony	1.46E-02	1.12E+01	1.10E+02	8.18E+02	7.10E+02	2.92E+01	9.87E+02
Aroclor-1016	2.55E-03	1.97E+00	1.92E+01	1.43E+02	1.24E+02	5.11E+00	1.73E+02
Aroclor 1221	1.10E-05	8.51E-03	8.32E-02	7.43E-01	1.61E+01	2.65E-01	8.98E-01
Aroclor-1232	1.10E-05	8.51E-03	8.32E-02	7.43E-01	1.61E+01	2.65E-01	8.98E-01
Aroclor-1242	1.10E-05	8.51E-03	8.32E-02	7.43E-01	1.61E+01	2.65E-01	8.98E-01
Aroclor-1248	1.10E-05	8.51E-03	8.32E-02	7.43E-01	1.61E+01	2.65E-01	8.98E-01
Aroclor-1254	1.10E-05	8.51E-03	8.32E-02	7.43E-01	1.61E+01	2.65E-01	8.98E-01
Aroclor-1260	1.10E-05	8.51E-03	8.32E-02	7.43E-01	1.61E+01	2.65E-01	8.98E-01
Arsenic	4.86E-05	3.74E-02	3.66E-01	3.27E+00	7.09E+01	1.17E+00	3.95E+00
Barium	2.56E+00	1.97E+03	1,91E+04	1.41E+05	1.24E+05	5.11E+03	1.73E+05
Benzene#	6.15E-04	2.26E+00	2.21E+01	1.66E-01	2.18E+00	7.05E+01	2.38E+02
alpha-BHC	1.35E-05	1.04E-02	1.02E-01	9.08E-01	1.97E+01	3.24E-01	1.10E+00
beta-BHC	4.72E-05	3.64E-02	3.56E-01	3.18E+00	6.90E+01	1.14E+00	3.84E+00
delta-BHC	<del>-</del>	_	_		-	-	-
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	6.54E-05	5.04E-02	4.93E-01	4.40E+00	9.55E+01	1.57E+00	5.32E+00
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.16E-04	8.97E-02	8.77E-01	7.84E+00	1.70E+02	2.80E+00	9.47E+00
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.16E-05	8.97E-03	8.77E-02	7.84E-01	1.70E+01	2.80E-01	9.47E-01
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1.16E-04	8.97E-02	8.77E-01	7.84E+00	1.70E+02	2.80E+00	9.47E+00
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene			<u> </u>				
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.16E-03	8.97E-01	8.77E+00	7.84E+01	1.70E+03_	2.80E+01	9.47E+01
Benzoic Acid	1.46E+02	1.12E+05	1.10E+06	8.18E+06	7.10E+06	2.92E+05	9.87E+06
Benzyl Alcohol	1.09E+01	8.42E+03	8.23E+04	6.13E+05	5.32E+05	2.19E+04	7.41E+05
Beryllium	1.98E-05	1.52E-02	1.49E-01	1.33E+00	2.89E+01	4.75E-01	1.61E+00
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane#	_		<u> </u>	-		-	<b>_</b>
his(2-Chloroethyl)ether#	1.63E-05	5.95E-02	5.82E-01	6.29E+00	1.13E+02	1.86E+00	6.28E+00
bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether#	4.22E-04	9.36E-01	9.15E+00	4.00E-01	1.77E+03	2.92E+01	9.87E+01
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	6.07E-03	4.68E+00	4.57E+01	4.09E+02	8.87E+03	1.46E+02	4.94E+02
/Bromodichloromethane#	1.37E-03	1.06E+00	1.03E+01	3.55E-01	3.55E+04	3.30E+01	1.11E+02
Bromoform#	3.77E-03	8.29E+00	8.11E+01	4.52E-02	4.75E+01	2.59E+02	8.75E+02
Bromonicthane#	1.09E-02	3.93E+01	3.84E+02	2.86E+03	2.48E+03	1.02E+02	3.46E+03

40

4344/50

TABLE 26
PROGRAMMATIC PRGs FOR ROCKY FLATS PLANT

		Residential		Office	Construction	Wading	Soil
	Residential	Surface Water	Residential	Worker	Worker	Ecological	Ecological
				Soil	Subsurface Soil	Worker	Worker
Target Analyte List	Groundwater	Swimming	Soil	1			i e
Chemical	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/L)	(mg/kg)
				_ :	_	_	_
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	2.47E+00	1.68E+04	1.65E+05	1.23E+06	1.06E+06	4.38E+04	1.48E+06
2-Butanone#		5.62E+03	5.49E+04	4.09E+05	3.55E+05	1.46E+04	4.94E+05
Butylbenzylphthalate	7.30E+00			1.02E+03	8.87E+02		1.23E+03
Cadmium	1.82E-02	1.40E+01	1.37E+02	<del></del>	8.67E+02	3.65E+01	1.23ET03
Calcium		_	-	-	<del></del>		2.47E+05
Carbon disulfide#	2.76E-02	2.81E+03	2.74E+04	2.04E+05	1.77E+05	7.30E+03	
Carbon tetrachloride#	2.60E-04	5.04E-01	4.93E+00	4.40E+01	6.82E-01	1.57E+01	5.32E+01
Cesium	<del></del>						
alpha-Chlordane	6.54E-05	5.04E-02	4.93E-01	4.40E+00	9.55E+01	1.57E+00	5.32E+00
beta-Chlordane	6.54E-05	5.04E-02	4.93E-01	4.40E+00	9.55E+01	1.57E+00	5.32E+00
gamma-Chlordane	6.54E-05	5.04E-02	4.93E-01	4.40E+00	9.55E+01	1.57E+00	5.32E+00
4-Chloroaniline	1.46E-01	1.12E+02	1.10E+03	8.18E+03	7.10E+03	2.92E+02	9.87E+03
Chlorobenzene#	5.16E-02	5.62E+02	5.49E+03	4.09E+04;	3.55E+04	1.46E+03	4.94E+04
Chloroethane#	2.78E+01			<u> </u>	1.18E+03	<u> </u>	
Chloroform#	2.76E-04	1.07E+01	1.05E+02	3.49E-02	6.61E-01	3.35E+02	1.13E+03
Chloromethane#	2.32E-03	5.04E+00	4.93E+01	7.44E-02	9.55E+03	1.57E+02	5.32E+02
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol		_	-	- 1		_	-
2-Chloronaphthalene#	2.92E+00	2.25E+03	2.20E+04	1.64E+05	1.42E+05	5.84E+03	1.97E+05
2-Chlorophenol#	1.82E-01	1.40E+02	1.37E+03	1.02E+04	8.87E+03	3.65E+02	1.23E+04
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	_	-	-			<u>-</u>	
Chromium III	3.65E+01	2.81E+04	2.74E+05	2.04E+06	1.77E+06	7.30E+04	2.47E+06
Chromium VI	1.82E-01	1.40E+02	1.37E+03	4.76E+03	8.87E+03	3.65E+02	1.23E+04
Chyrsene	1.16E-02	8.97E+00	8.77E+01_	7.84E+02	1.70E+04	2.80E+02	9.47E+02
Cobalt	-		-		_	1	
Copper	1.46E+00	1.12E+03	1.10E+04	8.18E+04	7.10E+04	2.92E+03	9.87E+04
Cyanide	7.30E-01	5.62E+02	5.49E+03	4.09E+04	3.55E+04	1.46E+03	4.94E+04
4,4-DDD	3.54E-04	2.73E-01	2.67E+00	2.38E+01	5.17E+02	8.52E+00	2.88E+01
4,4 – DDE	2.50E-04	1.93E-01	1.88E+00	1.68E+01	3.65E+02	6.01E+00	2.03E+01
4,4-DDT	2.50E-04	1.93E-01	1.88E+00	1.68E+01	3.65E+02	6.01E+00	2.03E+01
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	1.16E∸05	8.97E-03	8.77E-02	7.84E-01	1.70E+01	2.80E-01	9.47E-01
Dibenzofuran	_				_	-	<del></del>
Dibromochloromethane	1.01E-03	7.80E-01	7.62E+00	6.81E+01	1.48E+03	2.43E+01	8.23E+01
Di-n-butylphthalate	3.65E+00	2.81E+03	2.74E+04	2.04E+05	1.77E+05	7.30E+03	2.47E+05
1,2 - Dichlorobenzene#	4.67E-01	2.53E+03	2.47E+04	1.84E+05	1.60E+05	6.57E+03	2.22E+05
1,3-Dichlorobenzene#	4.0715 01	-		-	-	-	-
1,4 – Dichlorobenzene#	3.54E-03	2.73E+00	2.67E+01	1.37E-01	5.17E+03	8.52E+01	2.88E+02
3.3 – Dichlorobenzidine	1.89E-04	1.46E-01	1.42E+00	1.27E+01	2.76E+02	4.54E+00	1.54E+01

41

3/st/th

						<u> </u>	
		Residential		Office	Construction	Wading	Soil
	Residential	Surface Water	Residential	Worker	Worker	Ecological	Ecological
Target Analyte List	Groundwater	Swimming	Soil	Soil	Subsurface Soil	Worker	Worker
Chemical	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/L)	(mg/kg)
Circiniou	(, 52)	(, 2)	(55)	\\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.	<u>(gb)                               </u>	16-1	(:::8:-8)
1,1-Dichloroethane#	1.01E+00	2.81E+03	2.74E+04	2.04E+05	8.54E+01	7.30E+03	2.47E+05
1,2-Dichloroethane#	1.97E-04	7.20E-01	7.04E+00	5.21E-01	6.67E-01	2.25E+01	7.60E+01
1,1 - Dichloroethene#	6.77E-05	1.09E-01	1.07E+00	3.43E+00	1.27E-01	3.41E+00	1.15E+01
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)#	3.28E-01	2.53E+02	2.47E+03	1.84E+04	1.60E+04	6.57E+02	2.22E+04
2,4 - Dichlorophenol	1.10E-01	8.42E+01	8.23E+02	6.13E+03	5.32E+03	2.19E+02	7.41E+03
1,2-Dichloropropane#	1.25E-03	9.63E-01	9.42E+00	3.89E-01	1.83E+03	3.01E+01	1.02E+02
cis – 1,3 – Dichloropropene#	1.27E-04	3.64E-01	3.56E+00	1.03E+00	5.32E+02	1.14E+01	3.84E+01
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene#	1.27E-04	3.64E-01	3.56E+00	1.03E+00	5.32E+02	1.14E+01	3.84E+01
Dieldrin	5.31E-06	4.09E-03	4.00E-02	3.57E-01	7.76E+00	1.28E-01	4.32E-01
Diethylphthalate	2.92E+01	2.25E+04	2.20E+05	1.64E+06	1.42E+06	5.84E+04	1.97E+06
2,4 - Dimethylphenol#	7.30E-01	5.62E+02	5.49E+03	4.09E+04	3.55E+04	1.46E+03	4.94E+04
Dimethylphthalate	3.65E+02	2.81E+05	2.74E+06	2.04E+07	1.77E+07	7.30E+05	2.47E+07
4.6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol#		_	-	_	-	-	_
2,4-Dinitrophenol	7.30E-02	5.62E+01	5.49E+02	4.09E+03	3.55E+03	1.46E+02	4.94E+03
2,4 - Dinitrotoluene	7.30E-02	5.62E+01	5.49E+02	4.09E+03	3.55E+03	1.46E+02	4.94E+03
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	3.65E-02	9.63E-02	9.42E-01	8.41E+00	1.83E+02	3.01E+00	1.02E+01
Di-n-octylphthalate	7.30E-01	4.68E+00	4.57E+01	4.09E+02	8.87E+03	1.46E+02	4.94E+02
Endosulfan I	2.19E-01	1.68E+02	1.65E+03	1.23E+04	1.06E+04	4.38E+02	1.48E+04
Endosulfan II	2.19E-01	1.68E+02	1.65E+03	1.23E+04	1.06E+04	4.38E+02	1.48E+04
Endosulfan sulfate	2.19E-01	1.68E+02	1.65E+03	1.23E+04	1.06E+04	4.38E+02	1.48E+04
Endosulfan (technical)	2.19E-01	1.68E+02	1.65E+03	1.23E+04	1.06E+04	4.38E+02	1.48E+04
Endrin ketone		_	_			_	_
Endrin (technical)	1.09E-02	8.42E+00	8.23E+01	6.13E+02	5.32E+02	2.19E+01	7.41E+02
Ethylbenzene#	1.58E+00	2.81E+03	2.74E+04	2.04E+05	1.00E+03	7.30E+03	2.47E+05
Fluoranthene	1.46E+00	1.12E+03	1.10E+04	8.18E+04	7.10E+04	2.92E+03	9.87E+04
Fluorene#	1.46E+00	1.12E+03	1.10E+04	8.18E+04	7.10E+04	2.92E+03	9.87E+04
Heptachlor	1.89E-05	1.46E-02	1.42E-01	1.27E+00	2.76E+01	4.54E-01	1.54E+00
Heptachlor cpoxide	9.34E-06	7.20E-03	7.04E-02	6.29E-01	1.36E+01	2.25E-01	7.60E-01
Hexachlorobenzene	5.31E-05	4.09E-02	4.00E-01	3.57E+00	7.76E+01	1.28E+00	4.32E+00
Hexachlorobutadiene	<b>-</b> :	_	_	7.33E+01	3.55E+02	_	
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	2.56E-01	1.97E+02	1.91E+03	1.42E+04	1.24E+04	5.11E+02	1.73E+04
Hexachloroethane	6.07E-03	4.68E+00	4.57E+01	4.09E+02	1.77E+03	7.30E+01	4.94E+02
2-Hexanone#		_	_		_		-
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1.16E-04	8.97E-02	8.77E-01	7.84E+00	1.70E+02	2.80E+00	9.47E+00
Iron	_				_	-	_
Isophorone	8.95E-02	6.89E+01	6.74E+02	6.02E+03	1.31E+05	2.15E+03	7.28E+03
Lead		_	-		_		

4.7

		Residential		Office	Construction	Wading	Soil
	Residential	Surface Water	Residential	Worker	Worker	Ecological	Ecological
Tours Amaluta Tiat	Groundwater	[	Soil	Soil	Subsurface Soil	Worker	Worker
Target Analyte List		Swimming		1"	1		
Chemical	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/L)	(mg/kg)
Lithium	_	_	-		_	<u>-</u>	_
Magnesium	_	_			_	-	_
Manganese	1.82E-01	1.40E+02	1.36E+03	1.01E+04	8.86E+03	3.65E+02	1.23E+04
Mercury	1.09E-02	8.42E+00	8.23E+01	6.13E+02	5.32E+02	2.19E+01	7.41E+02
Methoxychlor	1.82E-01	1.40E+02	1.37E+03	1.02E+04	8.87E+03	3.65E+02	1.23E+04
Methylene chloride#	6.22E-03	8.73E+00	8.54E+01	4.29E-02	1.66E+04	2.73E+02	9.22E+02
2-Methylnaphthalene#	· · -	_	_	_	-	_	-
4-Methyl-2-pentanone#	2.03E-01	2.25E+03	2.20E+04	1.64E+05	1.42E+05	5.84E+03	1.97E+05
2-Methylphenol	1.83E+00	1.40E+03	1.37E+04	1.02E+05	8.87E+04	3.65E+03	1.23E+05
4 - Methylphenol	_	-	_		_	-	_
Molybdenum	1.82E-01	1.40E+02	1.37E+03	1.02E+04	8.87E+03	3.65E+02	1.23E+04
Naphthalene#	_		_	-	<u>-</u>	-	-
Nickel	7.30E-01	5.62E+02	5.49E+03	4.09E+04	3.55E+04	1.46E+03	4.94E+04
2-Nitroaniline	_		_	-		-	<u>-</u>
3-Nitroaniline	_		- '	-	_	<b>-</b>	_
4-Nitroaniline	-	_	_	_		_	_
Nitrobenzene#	4.20E-03	1.40E+01	1.37E+02	1.02E+03	8.87E+02	3.65E+01	1.23E+03
2-Nitrophenol	-	_	<u>.</u>	_	_	_	-
4-Nitrophenol#		_	_	_		-	_
n-Nitrosodiphenylamine#	1.73E-02	1.34E+01	1.31E+02	2.80E-02	2.53E+04	4.17E+02	1.41E+03
n-Nitrosodipropylamine	1.21E-05	9.36E-03	9.15E-02	8.17E-01	1.77E+01	2.92E-01	9.87E-01
Pentachlorophenol	7.08E-04	5.46E-01	5.34E+00	4.77E+01	1.03E+03	1.70E+01	5.76E+01
Phenanthrene#	-		_	_	_	-	_
Phenol	2.19E+01	1.68E+04	1.65E+05	1.23E+06	1.06E+06	4.38E+04	1.48E+06
Potassium	-	-		<u> </u>	<b>-</b>		
Pyrene	1.09E+00	8.42E+02	8.23E+03	6.13E+04	5.32E+04	2.19E+03	7.41E+04
Selenium	1.82E-01	1.40E+02	1.37E+03	1.02E+04	8.87E+03	3.65E+02	1.23E+04
Silver	1.82E-01	1.40E+02	1.37E+03	1.02E+04	8.87E+03	3.65E+02	1.23E+04
Sodium	-	-	-		-	<u> </u>	-
Strontium	2.19E+01	1.68E+04	1.65E+05	1.23E+06	1.06E+06	4.38E+04	1.48E+06
Stryene#	2.01E+00	5.62E+03	5.49E+04	4.09E+05	5.40E+02	1.46E+04	4.94E+05
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane#	8.95E-05	3.28E-01	3.20E+00	1.14E+00	6.21E+02	1.02E+01	3.46E+01
Tetrachloroethene#	1.63E-03	1.26E+00	1.23E+01	2.97E-01	1.77E+04	3.93E+01	1.33E+02
Thallium	_	_	<del>-</del>	- ,	_		
Tin	2.19E+01	1.68E+04	1.65E+05	1.23E+06	1.06E+06	4.38E+04	1.48E+06
Toluene#	9.65E-01	5.62E+03	5.49E+04	4.09E+05	1.95E+02	1.46E+04	4.94E+05
Toxaphene	7.73E-05	5.95E-02	5.82E-01	5.20E+00	1.13E+02	1.86E+00	6.28E+00

Toxaphene

		Residential		Office	Construction	Wading	Soil
	Residential	Surface Water	Residential	Worker	Worker	Ecological	Ecological
Target Analyte List	Groundwater	Swimming	Soil	- Soil	Subsurface Soil	Worker	Worker
Chemical	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/L)	(mg/kg)
Chomical	(	(8=)	(6	1-8-8/	(		<u> </u>
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene#	2.19E-01	2.81E+02	2.74E+03	2.04E+04	1.77E+04	7.30E+02	2.47E+04
1,1,1-Trichloroethane#	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
1,1,2-Trichloroethane#	3.18E-04	1.15E+00	1.12E+01	3.26E-01	2.18E+03	3.59E+01	1.21E+02
Trichloroethene#	_	_	_	_	<u> </u>	_	-
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	3.65E+00	2.81E+03	2.74E+04	2.04E+05	1.77E+05	7.30E+03	2.47E+05
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	7.73E-03	5.95E+00	5.82E+01	5.20E+02	1.13E+04	1.86E+02	6.28E+02
Vanadium	2.56E-01	1.97E+02	1.92E+03	1.43E+04	1.24E+04	5.11E+02	1.73E+04
Vinyl acetate	3.65E+01	2.81E+04	2.74E+05	2.04E+06	1.77E+06	7.30E+04	2.47E+06
Vinyl chloride#	2.81E-05	3.45E-02	3.37E-01	1.09E+01	3.46E-02	1.08E+00	3.64E+00
Xylene (total)#	7.30E+01	5.62E+04	5.49E+05	4.09E+06	3.55E+06	1.46E+05	4.94E+06
Zinc	1.09E+01	8.42E+03	8.23E+04	6.13E+05	5.32E+05	2.19E+04	7.41E+05
Nitrate	5.84E+01	4.49E+04	4.39E+05	3.27E+06	2.84E+06	1.17E+05	3.95E+06
Nitrite	3.65E+00	2.81E+03	2.74E+04	2.04E+05	1.77E+05	7.30E+03	2.47E+05
pH	-	-	<u> </u>	-	_	_	_
Sulfide	-	_	_	-	_	_	_
Ammonium	_				-		_
Bicarbonate		_	_	_	_	_	-
Bromide	_	_	<b>-</b>	-	_	_	_
Carbonate	_	-	_	_	_	_	-
Chloride	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
Cyanide	_	_	_	-	_	_	-
Fluoride	2.19E+00	1.68E+03	1.65E+04	1.23E+05	1.06E+05	4.38E+03	1.48E+05
Orthophosphate	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Silica (as Si and SiO <sub>2</sub> )	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Sulfate	_	_	_	_	-	-	· <b>-</b>
Americium-241	1.98E-01 *	1.53E+02 •	2.37E+00 **	9.55E+00 **	2.16E+02 **	4.76E+03 •	1.09E+01 **
Cesium – 137	1.70E+00 *	1.31E+03 •	2.83E+01 **	1.14E+02 **	2.48E+03 **	4.08E+04 •	1.38E+02 **
Plutonium-239	2.07E-01 *	1.59E+02 •	3.43E+00 **	1.38E+01 **	3.01E+02 **	4.97E+03 •	1.67E+01 **
Plutonium-240	2.07E-01 *	1.59E+02 •	3.42E+00 **	1.38E+01 **	3.01E+02 **	4.97E+03 •	1.67E+01 **
Radium - 226	3.97E-01 *	3.05E+02 *	2.28E+00 **	9.13E+00 **	2.17E+02 **	9.52E+03 •	9.70E+00 **
Radium – 228	4.76E-01 *	3.66E+02 •	7.93E+00 **	3.20E+01 **	6.94E+02 **	1.14E+04 •	3.86E+01 **
Strontium-89	1.59E+01 *	1.22E+04 •	6.64E+01 **	2.66E+02 **	6.41E+03 **	3.81E+05 *	2.78E+02 **
Strontium-90	· 1.44E+00 °	1.11E+03 •	2.40E+01 **	9.70E+01 **	2.10E+03 **	3.46E+04 •	1.17E+02 **

TABLE 26
PROGRAMMATIC PRGs FOR ROCKY FLATS PLANT

		Residential		Office	Construction	Wading	Soil
	Residential	Surface Water	Residential	Worker	Worker	Ecological	Ecological
Target Analyte List	Groundwater	Swimming	Soil	Soil	Subsurface Soil	Worker	Worker
Chemical	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/L)	(mg/kg)
Tritium			_	<del>_</del>	_	_	_
Uranium-233	2.98E+00 *	2.29E+03 •	4.47E+01 **	1.82E+02 **	4.13E+03 **	7.14E+04 •	2.18E+02 **
·Uranium-234	2.98E+00 *	2.29E+03 •	4.53E+01 **	1.85E+02 **	4.18E+03 **	7.14E+04 •	2.22E+02 **
Uranium-235	2.98E+00 *	2.29E+03 •	1.73E-01 **	6.92E-01 **	1.73E+01 **	7.14E+04 •	6.92E-01 **
Uranium-238	2.98E+00 °	2.29E+03 •	4.60E+01 **	1.87E+02 **	4.22E+03 **	7.14E+04 •	2.25E+02 **

NOTE: PPRGs listed are the minimum of the noncarcinogenic (RfD) and the carcinogenic (SF) PRG.

- # = Chemicals listed are volatile.
- = Values given are in units of pCi/L.
- •• = Values given are in units of pCi/g.



#### 7.0 REFERENCES

- Dinan, J., 1992. Changes to Equations in the Part B Guidance. Note to Regional Toxic Integration Coordinators. November.
- DOE, 1991. Rocky Flats Plant Site Environmental Report.
- DOE, 1993a. Draft Final Technical Memorandum No. 5. Human Health Risk Assessment 903
  Pad, Mound, and East Trenches Areas. Operable Unit No. 2. Exposure Scenarios.
  U.S. Department of Energy, Rocky Flats Plant. Golden, Colorado. January.
- DOE, 1993b. Phase II RFI/RI Report 903 Pad, Mound, and East Trenches Areas. Operable Unit No. 2. Draft. U.S. Department of Energy, Rocky Flats Plant. Golden, Colorado.
- DOE, 1993c. Final Phase III RFI/RI, Rocky Flats Plant, 881 Hillside Area (Operable Unit 1). U.S. Department of Energy, Rocky Flats Plant. Golden, Colorado.
- DOE, 1993d. Final Technical Memorandum No. 12. Human Health Risk Assessment Exposure Scenarios. Rocky Flats Plant Woman Creek Priority Drainage (Operable Unit No. 5). July 2, 1993.
- IAG, 1991. Rocky Flats Interagency Agreement Between the State of Colorado, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the Department of Energy.
- USEPA, 1986. Superfund Public Health Evaluation Manual. EPA/540/1-89/002. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response. Washington, D.C.
- USEPA, 1988. Superfund Exposure Assessment Manual. EPA/540/1-88/001. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Remedial Response. Washington, D.C.
- USEPA, 1989. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund, Volume I: Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part A). EPA/540/1-89/002. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response. Washington, D.C.
- USEPA, 1991. Human Health Evaluation Manual, Part B: Development of Risk-Based Preliminary Remediation Goals. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response. Washington, D.C.
- USEPA, 1991a. Human Health Evaluation Manual, Supplemental Guidance: Standard Default Exposure Factors. OSWER Directive 9285.6-03. March 25, 1991. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

- USEPA, 1992. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications. Office of Health and Environmental Assessment. EPA/600/8-91/011b.
- USEPA, 1993b. Revisions to Chapter 4: Risk-based PRGs for Radioactive Contaminants.

  Received from USEPA Region VIII, October.
- USEPA, 1993c. Research and Development Provisional Guidance for Quantitative Risk Assessment of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons. ECAO-CIN-842. Environmental Criteria and Assessment Office, Office of Health and Environmental Assessment, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- USEPA, 1994a. Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables Annual Update, March 1994. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, Washington, D.C.
- USEPA, 1994b. Integrated Risk Information System. TOMES Database. October, 1994.